SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY VIA ECFS

Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

FCC Docket 02-6

Re: Appeal of Little Axe Public Schools (BEN: 139802), for denial of FY 2013 and FY 2014 Applications 919177 and 964528, FRN 2507363 and FRN 2621277

Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 54.719(a), Little Axe hereby respectfully submits this appeal of decisions by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) to deny FRN 2507363 for Funding Year 2013 and FRN 2621277 for Funding Year 2014.

Contact:

Chris Webber
CRW Consulting
P.O. Box 701713
Tulsa, OK 74170-1713
chris@crwconsulting.com
918.445.0048
Consultant for Little Axe

The reason for denial:

"The FRN is denied because you did not select the most cost-effective bid proposal. FCC rules state that in selecting a provider of eligible services, applicants must carefully consider all bids submitted and must select the most cost-effective service offering. The FCC codified in the Ysleta Order, that in evaluating bids from prospective service providers, applicants must select the most cost-effective offering from the bids received. The selected bid must itself be cost-effective compared to the prices available commercially and stated that 'there may be situations where the price of services is so exorbitant that it cannot, on its face, be cost-effective. For instance, a proposal to sell at prices two to three times greater than the prices available from commercial vendors would not be cost effective, absent extenuating circumstances.'"

~ .		
V1	gned	٠
IJΙ	gnou	

<u>/s/</u>

Chris Webber
Owner
CRW Consulting LLC
PO Box 701713
Tulsa, OK 74170
918.445.0048
chris@crwconsulting.com

I. INTRODUCTION

Little Axe Public Schools (Little Axe or the District) hereby respectfully requests that the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) reverse its decision to deny Schools and Libraries (E-rate) universal service funding to Little Axe for its FRN 2507363 on 471 Application Number 919177 for Funding Year 2013 and FRN 2621277 on 471 Application Number 964528 for Funding Year 2014.

USAC denied the District's request for funding because USAC claims that the District did not select the most cost-effective bidder to provide its Internet access services. To the contrary, as the discussion below will explain, the District satisfied all of the program's competitive bidding rules and selected the most cost-effective services, when it considered price and its other evaluation criteria. USAC's use of a bright-line standard is contrary to Commission precedent stating no such bright-line test exists, and, regardless, *Ysleta* is not applicable here.

Upholding the denials of these applications will preclude a fair and open competitive bidding process in which all bids are fairly evaluated, render the competitive bidding process meaningless and will force schools to select a lower-cost bid, even if not the most cost-effective, contrary to program rules – and possibly their own competitive bidding requirements. For practical purposes, this ruling by USAC will make price the only factor that matters in the E-rate competitive bidding process. That will result in many applicants selecting services that do not provide the best value for them or, therefore, the E-rate program. Such an outcome would not serve the E-rate program or statutory goals. Thus, we respectfully ask USAC to reverse its decision and grant funding to the District for the funding request at issue.

II. **BACKGROUND**

Little Axe is a school district in central Oklahoma. The District has approximately 1,250 students enrolled. The district has one full time IT person on staff.¹

For Funding Year 2013 the District filed a 470 requesting bids for Internet access.² The District also released a Request for Proposal on October 15th, 2012.³ Included in this RFP was a request for Internet access.

The District received three bids for the Internet access portion of the RFP: Meet Point Networks, One Source and OneNet.⁴ After carefully evaluating the bids received, the District selected Meet Point Networks to provide their Internet access under a multi-year contract on FCC 471 #919177. For 2014, the District continued their Internet Access funding requests with Meet Point Networks on FCC 471#964528 via their multi-year contract.⁵

On May 20th, 2016 USAC issued a Notification of Commitment Adjustment Letter that denied the funding request for Meet Point services on FRN 2507363 and FRN 2621277.6 The reason for the denial states:

"The FRN is denied because you did not select the most cost-effective bid proposal. FCC rules state that in selecting a provider of eligible services, applicants must carefully consider all bids submitted and must select the most cost-effective service offering. The FCC codified in the Ysleta Order, that in evaluating bids from prospective service providers, applicants must select the most cost-effective offering from the bids received. The selected bid must itself be cost-effective compared to the prices available commercially and stated that 'there may be situations where the price of services is so exorbitant that it cannot, on its face, be cost-effective. For instance, a

¹ Affidavit of Andrew Mobley, paragraph 5 ² FCC Form 470 #527680001050031 (FY 2013 Form 470).

³ FY 2013 RFP, Exhibit 1.

⁴ See Exhibit 2, Bids Received.

 $^{^{5}}$ FCC Form 471 # 919177 and Form 471 #964528, Exhibit 3. The services also include 24 x 7 troubleshooting and repair, onsite visits to restore Internet access, firewall services, and email and web hosting.

⁶ Exhibit 4, Notification of Commitment Adjustment Letters, dated 5/20/2016.

proposal to sell at prices two to three times greater than the prices available from commercial vendors would not be cost effective, absent extenuating circumstances."

Little Axe received USAC Appeal Denial Letters for 2013 and 2014 on August 5, 2016.

By this letter, the District appeals USAC's decision to rescind its funding commitments. Commission rules allow 60 days for the filing of an appeal to the FCC.⁸ Because this appeal is filed within 60 days of USAC's decision, it is timely filed.

III. BECAUSE LITTLE AXE SELECTED THE MOST COST-EFFECTIVE SERVICES, ITS E-RATE APPLICATION FOR FY 2013 & 2014 SHOULD BE REINSTATED

Federal Communications Commission rules require applicants to seek competitive bids for all services and equipment eligible for E-rate discounts. Applicants are required to "carefully consider all bids submitted" and to select "the most cost-effective service offering" using the price of eligible goods and services as the primary factor. Under section 54.511(a) of the Commission's rules, an applicant "may consider relevant factors other than the pre-discount prices" submitted by providers to determine which service offering is the most cost-effective, so long as price is the primary factor considered.

The Commission's *Tennessee Order* ruled there is a presumption of cost-effectiveness when the applicant meets all of the requirements of the competitive bidding process and when

⁷ Administrator's Decision Letters for 2014 and 2013, Exhibit 5.

⁸ 47 C.F.R. § 54.719(a); 47 C.F.R. § 54.720(b).

⁹ See 47 C.F.R. § 54.503(a)-(b) (2014). See also In the Matter of Fed.-State Joint Bd. on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45, Report and Order, FCC 97-157 at ¶ 480 (1997) (First Universal Service Order) (finding that "fiscal responsibility compels us to require that eligible schools and libraries seek competitive bids for all services eligible for [E-rate] discounts.").

¹⁰ *Id.* at § 54.511(a) (2012) and (2014). *See also* 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.503(c)(2)(vii), 54.504(a)(1)(xi) (2012) (requiring applicants to certify on FCC Forms 470 and 471 respectively that the most cost-effective bid will be or was selected).

¹¹ 47 C.F.R. § 54.511(a).

the applicant pays its share of the costs.¹² Nevertheless, USAC alleges that the District did not select the most cost-effective service offering. USAC claims that the District's selection of services that cost more than two times another bid violates the Commission's directive in *Ysleta*.¹³ The "standard" used by USAC, however, has never been adopted by the Commission as a bright-line standard for cost-effectiveness. USAC is also applying this standard to compare bids that provide different service components (that are eligible). Further, the dicta in *Ysleta* is not applicable to this case.

A. Little Axe Followed E-rate Competitive Bidding Rules to Select the Most Cost-Effective Bid, Contrary to USAC's Allegations.

In the *Universal Service Order* establishing the E-rate program, the Commission agreed with the recommendation of the Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service that schools and libraries should not be required to choose the lowest-priced service but instead should be allowed the "maximum flexibility" to take service quality into account and to choose the offering or offerings that meets their needs 'most effectively and efficiently." In the *Second Report and Order*, the Commission codified the requirement that price must be the primary factor when applicants analyze bids they have received. 15

_

 $^{^{12}}$ Tennessee Order at ¶¶ 9-12.

¹³ See Funding Commitment Decision Letter; Request for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Ysleta Independent School District El Paso, Texas, et al., Order, FCC 03-313, 18 FCC Rcd 26407, n. 138 (2003) (Ysleta Order).

¹⁴ Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45, Report and Order, 12 FCC Rcd 8776, at ¶ 481 (1997) (Universal Service Order) (quoting the Joint Board's recommendation).

¹⁵ See Schools and Libraries Universal Support Mechanism, CC Docket No. 02-6, Second Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 18 FCC Rcd 9202, FCC 03-101 (2003) (codifying 47 C.F.R. §54.511(a)) (Second Report and Order); see also School and Libraries Universal Support Mechanism, CC Docket No. 02-6, Fifth Report and Order and Order, 19 FCC Rcd 15808 (2004) (codifying 47 C.F.R. § 54.504(b)(2)(vii) and 47 C.F.R. § 54.504(c)(1)(xi)) (Fifth Report and Order).

Significantly, the Commission's rules have never required schools and libraries to select a provider offering a lower price, even among bids for comparable service.¹⁶ Given that price, as a category, only has to be weighted one point higher than any other category,¹⁷ however, it is quite likely that a vendor could be awarded fewer points in the cost category yet still win the bid based on points earned in the technical (non-price) categories. In fact, the Commission has stated repeatedly that price cannot be the only factor for the obvious reason that "price cannot be properly evaluated without consideration of what is being offered."¹⁸

The District met the Commission's requirements by giving more weight to price than to any other factor it used in the selection process and by appropriately awarding points in the other non-cost factors. The bid evaluation sheets used by the District allotted a maximum of 25 points for the price of eligible goods and services.¹⁹ The other categories – service history, expertise of company, understanding of needs/completeness of bids, and the location of the company – all had maximum points of 20 or fewer.²⁰

In addition to the price category, as described above, Little Axe evaluated bidders based on service history; the expertise of the company; understanding of the district's needs/completeness of bids; and the location of the company. In the bid evaluation process for Meet Point earned the most points for the location, service history, expertise of the company, and

¹⁶ Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45, Report and Order, 12 FCC Rcd 8776, 9029, para. 481 (1997) (subsequent history omitted) (*Universal Service Order*). See also Tennessee Order at ¶ 9 ("Even among bids for comparable services, however, this does not mean that the lowest bid must be selected.").

¹⁷ If, for example, a school assigns 10 points to reputation and 10 points to past experience, the school would be required to assign at least 11 points to price. *See Ysleta Order* at ¶ 50, n. 138.

¹⁸ Tennessee Order at \P 8.

¹⁹ Bid Evaluation Sheets, Exhibit 6.

²⁰ *Id*.

understanding the needs/completeness of bids categories, OneNet received the most points for price of eligible goods and services.²¹

Little Axe considered the quality of service, as the Commission explicitly recognized in Tennessee, and selected the bid that met its needs "most effectively and efficiently."²² To meet the needs of its students and teachers, Little Axe required an Internet access service that provided strong network security. 23 Meet Point received higher scores because they offered services that OneNet did not include on their bid – specifically firewall services. As the District stated: they are "severely understaffed and need all the help they can get ensuring that my computing environment is protected from both internal and external compromise."²⁴

Additionally, Meet Point received additional points for their direct line of communication - when issues arose with Meet Point the school had the cell phone numbers for the principals in the company. ²⁵ These services and the direct line of communication are especially important to a school district that was shorthanded for IT staff. Andy Mobley, the Technology Director was responsible for approximately 600 desktops, laptops, tablets; 50 printers; 26 switches and a firewall – Mr. Mobley specifically described the IT department, which consists only of him, as "severely understaffed." Little Axe felt that it was essential that it had a company that could resolve any issues in the most expeditious manner possible.²⁷ It was not beneficial for the district to have a service that required a lot of staff time in the restoration process. When the Internet is down, the teacher cannot skip a lesson or wait until next week when the Internet is working

²¹ *Id*.

²² Tennessee Order at ¶ 9

 $^{^{23}}$ Mobley Aff. ¶ 9

²⁴ Mobley Aff. ¶ 5

²⁵ Mobley Aff. ¶ 15

²⁶ Mobley Aff. ¶ 5

²⁷ Mobley Aff. ¶ 9

again. Every minute of classroom time is valuable, especially with the demands upon the education system today. Similarly, online testing cannot be pushed to a different time. Therefore, service quality (and the ability to quickly restore that service) is an essential component of the selection process.

Meet Point received higher scores in the non-price categories based upon the District's direct experience with the people that ran Meet Point in previous funding years – the school described this as a "great experience" and that they had "great respect for the technical expertise of the Meet Point Staff."28 The staff at Meet Point had been responsible for initiation of the Internet services; configuration of the router; determining the cause of any issues with the services and resolving those issues; and the configuration, administration and issue-resolution of email services. Their work ethic demonstrated a commitment to providing the best services for the District. As the Commission has noted, "[A] school should have the flexibility to select different levels of services, to the extent such flexibility is consistent with that school's technology plan and ability to pay for such services."²⁹ The quality of service and responsiveness when problems arise are especially important to small districts that have few employees focusing on technology.

In contrast, OneNet received lower point awards in the non-price categories. Specifically, conversations the District had with other K-12 districts who were OneNet customers led the District to understand that OneNet's technical abilities were not up to par with Meet Point.

In fact, in 2011 OneNet sponsored a K-12 conference in OK – NetPotential 2011. During this conference, Von Royal, the Executive Director and CIO of OneNet admitted they had problems with their network, and that they were "not pleased with all the levels of service we

²⁸ Mobley Aff. ¶ 14 ²⁹ *Tennessee*, Para. 9

were providing, so we undertook a major upgrade."³⁰ The word in the K12 community at that time was that OneNet was oversubscribed (meaning you could order a 100 Mb circuit and only get a portion of that bandwidth) – as Wes Fryer, a respected K12 technology advocate in Oklahoma, writes: "OneNet has historically over-subscribed its k-12 educational network when it comes to bandwidth.³¹ OneNet themselves admitted that their network had not been performing to the standards they would have liked. This was common knowledge in the Oklahoma K12 community at the time.

In the category "understanding the District's needs," Meet Point offered services not offered by OneNet, onsite turn up, onsite visits to restore Internet access, and firewall services. As noted by the Commission, applicants cannot properly consider price without consideration of what services are being offered. Here, Meet Point offered additional services that OneNet did not include in their bid proposal. Additionally, OneNet did not include a leased router with their proposal. Had the District accepted the OneNet bid it would have required them to purchase a router. As Mr. Mobley states: "I also had to do some pricing for a router that the district would have had to purchase if we selected OneNet because they do not provide one with their service. This equipment would have cost the district well over \$15,000 and would have had no support from OneNet."³²

Little Axe evaluated the Internet access providers based on categories that it determined were important. That evaluation led Little Axe to select the service provider with the offer that best met the District's needs. It choose Meet Point because it determined that the service history,

³⁰ Moving at the Speed of Creativity October 21, 2011,

http://www.speedofcreativity.org/2011/10/21/netpotential-2011-conference-notes-netpotential11/

³¹ Moving at the Speed of Creativity, March 22, 2011,

 $[\]frac{http://www.speedofcreativity.org/2011/03/22/iphone-tethering-cellular-bandwidth-consumption-the-home-school-internet-access-divide/$

³² Mobley Aff. ¶ 15

expertise of the company, land the company's understanding of the District's needs were superior to that of OneNet – as allowed and encouraged by Commission orders and E-rate program rules. As the District summarizes: "Meet Point simply offered more support, better protection of my Internet connection and didn't require additional high-cost, out of pocket purchases just to establish the connection. These qualities made choosing them the obvious choice."

B. The Commission Has Never Established a Bright-Line Standard, as USAC Has Done Here.

After adopting the guidance on cost-effectiveness in *Tennessee*, the Commission declined to adopt a bright-line standard for cost-effectiveness. In the *Third Report and Order* – released two weeks after *Ysleta* – and in a paragraph directly referencing *Ysleta*, the Commission specifically noted it did not have a bright-line test for cost-effectiveness: "*Nor do our rules*" *expressly establish a bright line test for what is a 'cost effective' service*." The Commission

³³ Mobley Aff. ¶ 15

³⁴ See, e.g., Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism, CC Docket No. 02-6, Third Report and Order and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FCC 03-323, at ¶ 87 (*Third Report and Order*) ("Our rules do not expressly require, however, that the applicant consider whether a particular package of services are the most cost effective means of meeting its technology needs. Nor do our rules expressly establish a bright line test for what is a "cost effective" service."); *Modernizing the E-rate Program for Schools and Libraries*, WC Docket No. 13-184, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FCC 13-100, at ¶ 213 (*Modernization NPRM*) ("[W]e seek to refresh the record on whether we should adopt bright line tests, benchmark or formula for determining the most cost-effective means of meeting an applicant's technology needs."). It is notable, however, that the Commission appeared to focus on situations where no bid or only one bid was received, and those situations where applicants are selected expensive priority one services simply because they are supported, even though they are unnecessary or when less expensive services would fill the same need. *Modernization NPRM* at ¶¶ 203, 212-213.

has twice sought comment on whether to adopt specific standards or provide additional guidance with respect to this rule, but has so far declined to do so.³⁵

Contrary to these Commission declarations, however, USAC points to *Ysleta* as support for stating that Little Axe's services are not cost-effective, by stating that the services selected through Little Axe's competitive bidding process were more than two times the OneNet bid.

There are several problems with USAC's reliance upon *Ysleta* here. First, USAC appears to be establishing a bright-line rule even though the Commission has expressly stated that it has not adopted a bright-line standard. As USAC is aware, USAC cannot interpret Commission rules. As such, USAC should not use a bright-line standard of "two times" other bids to determine that services selected through Little Axe's competitive bidding process are not cost-effective. Further, the Commission directed USAC to review its approach to cost-effectiveness reviews and then share the information with applicants and services providers before it attempts to implement a new approach, with oversight performed by the Wireline Competition Bureau and the Office of the Managing Director. As of the date of filing this appeal, USAC has not provided this information. It is a potential violation of the Administrative Procedure Act and, at

_

In 2003, in the *Third Report and Order*, the Commission sought comment on whether it should codify additional rules to ensure that applicants make informed and reasonable decisions in deciding for which services they will seek discounts. *Third Report and Order*, at ¶ 87. In the *Modernization NPRM*, the FCC sought comment on adopting new standards for cost-effectiveness. *Modernization Order*, at ¶ 211-216. In the *First Modernization Order*, the Commission provided limited guidance related to the showing of cost-effectiveness necessary to receive funding for data plans for wireless devices and wireless air cards providing Internet access. The Commission ruled the wireless services are not cost-effective if they are duplicating service already being provided. *Id.* at ¶ 151.

 $^{^{36}}$ See Third Report and Order at \P 87; Modernization NPRM at \P 213.

³⁷ 47 C.F.R. § 54. 702(c).

³⁸ Modernizing the E-rate Program for Schools and Libraries, WC Docket No. 13-184, Connect America Fund, WC Docket No. 90-90, Second Report and Order and Order on Reconsideration, FCC 15-189 (2014) at ¶ 126.

a minimum, fundamentally unfair to applicants to adopt a new standard of review and simply not tell the applicants what the standard is before holding them to it. In fact, the Commission should seek comment in a rulemaking process to establish a new standard, as it has done twice before without adopting such a standard. As the Commission has recognized by seeking comment on this issue, the Commission should adopt an order revising its own precedent if it desires to do so.³⁹

Second, Ysleta's facts are not applicable to this situation. The Commission in Ysleta analyzed a competitive bidding process in which the school district received one or no bids.⁴⁰ Little Axe sought bids through the FCC Form 470 process for its E-rate eligible services. In Ysleta, the Commission stated – in dicta – that a price for a piece of equipment two to three times "the prices available from commercial vendors would not be cost-effective, absent extenuating circumstances."41 The example the Commission gave in *Ysleta* was of a piece of equipment. Equipment, unlike services, are commodities and more easily comparable. Even so, people often make purchasing decisions based on the quality of the brand of the product. The same is true – and even more so – for services. Evaluations of competing services are, of course, different than evaluating bids for the same piece of equipment. When evaluating a service, Applicants will have to consider the reliability of the service, the ability of the service provider to restore service in downtimes (including the technical expertise of the staff), and if the service provides the elements the Applicant would be purchasing (for example, are we really getting the amount of Internet access we have ordered?). Accordingly, USAC should not use *Ysleta* to support its analysis when comparing services, especially when the bids are different and include different,

³⁹ Third Report and Order, ¶ 87; Modernization NPRM, at ¶¶ 213.

⁴⁰ Ysleta at \P 54.

⁴¹ *Id*.

eligible services – such as on-site technical support and firewall services. As described above, Little Axe compared the quality of services of Meet Point with the services provided by OneNet and reached the conclusion that Meet Point's services were superior.

Third, the *Ysleta* decision does not establish a standard that applicants are precluded from selecting bids that are twice as expensive as "the lowest bid." The standard in *Ysleta* is "two or three times" the prices that are *commercially available* for those services, ⁴² which begs the question: What would have been the pricing of the lower bidders had they included the additional, eligible services that Meet Point provides, or if those lower-priced bidders had the level of expertise of the Meet Point staff? Of course, the answer to that question is "unknown" which means comparing these two bids using the *Ysleta* standard is a moot exercise and is not a fair evaluation of what is and is not cost effective.

Is Meet Point's bid "too expensive" for USAC to fund? We disagree with the conclusion that it is. The only way to determine if the bid is "too expensive" is to compare it to other commercially available services. USAC did not compare Meet Point's bid, which provided for different levels of support (cell phone numbers for the principals, on-site support and turn up) and different services (firewall services) than the other bidders, to other similar, commercially available offerings. USAC, in trying to make that determination could have surveyed local providers to determine what the commercially reasonable local price would be for a similar set of services (both scope and quality), or USAC could have used existing information they have gathered via 471 submissions about similar Internet access services provided in Oklahoma. We believe the price that Meet Point charges, given the level of support, the technical expertise of their staff and additional services offered, is commercially reasonable.

⁴² *Id*.

Additionally, we note that USAC funded the 2013 and 2014 FRNs for the District. USAC knew exactly how much they were paying for exactly how much bandwidth. USAC has cost-effectiveness standards before they fund applications to "red flag" funding requests that are out of a normal range. Neither the District's 2013 or 2014 FRNs triggered one of those USAC prefunding cost effectiveness review. For USAC to fund the FRN, knowing exactly how much they were paying for a specific amount of bandwidth and services and then years later demand that the District repay that funding is patently unfair to the District.

Finally, the Commission in *Ysleta* was also describing a situation in which there was only one bidder, and therefore no competitive bidding, this precluding the applicant from any comparison of services or price.⁴³ In such a case, the applicant is at the mercy of the service provider's pricing and does not have a choice as to providers. Little Axe was not held hostage to one provider. It received multiple bids and made a reasoned judgment regarding the services and comparative costs that met <u>its</u> needs through its competitive bidding process.

The reason that Little Axe selected a more expensive service provider – even though funding for schools is tight in Oklahoma – is that a properly functioning Internet service is critical to the success of its students. The evaluation categories of location, service history, expertise of the company and understanding the needs of the District all relate to whether the Internet access service will function as expected or be repaired as quickly as possible. Internet access services are as important to Little Axe as its other utilities, including heat and water. With the way the curriculum is structured, the schools simply cannot function if the Internet is not accessible. It is not cost-effective for either the District or the E-rate program to pay for an Internet service – no matter how inexpensive it is – that does not further the goal of providing

⁴³ *Id*.

students with access to greater educational opportunities. Further, the District believed it was cost-effective for its needs as a small, rural district, to pay extra for a service that included enhanced levels of support and protection (i.e., the firewall). Little Axe chose the service provider that was most cost-effective for its needs.

C. USAC's Decision in This Case Undermines Program Policies and Goals

Application of USAC's decision on a consistent basis will not further E-rate program policies and goals. First, it will force applicants in some cases to select a provider that does not offer the most cost-effective services for the applicants' needs – and likely could cause applicants to perform a disingenuous bid review process. Second, this decision could require applicants to weight price more heavily in the bid evaluation process – which is not required by Commission rules – in order to try to meet USAC's newly created standard. Finally, the District will suffer significant harm if its funding is denied.

First, USAC's attempt to second-guess the work of the District will force applicants to select a lower-priced offering, regardless of quality or other relevant criteria, so they will not be subject to second-guessing months or years after the conclusion of the competitive bidding process. To prevent this potential denial of funding, applicants will be forced to select a lowerprice bidder, notwithstanding their review of the vendors' bids using the other factors important to the individual applicants.

Using such a standard will lead to a disingenuous bidding process. Applicants are required to consider all valid bids received. 44 Is it really USAC's position that an applicant must evaluate a bid that is two times more expensive than the other bids, but that bid (under USAC's interpretation of *Ysleta*) must always lose? Are applicants supposed to manipulate the evaluation

⁴⁴ 47 C.F.R. § 54. 511(a).

process so that the more expensive vendor receives fewer points, notwithstanding the reviewer's actual analysis of the bid responses? A fair and open competitive bidding process cannot have pre-determined outcomes. Such a result could cause applicants to violate their own competitive bidding requirements. Further, what is the point of allowing the applicant the "maximum flexibility" to consider service history, quality of service, or other reasonable factors of a bid that USAC has pre-determined must always lose? An applicant that follows all of its own state and local procurement rules should not be prohibited from selecting a bid that meets its needs, but for a non-codified standard that USAC has decided to impose. If it is truly the intention that bids that are twice as much as the lowest bid are, on face, not cost-effective and should never win, then the program should explicitly allow applicants to disqualify those bids before the bid evaluation process begins, even if no disqualification factors are listed by the applicant in the FCC Form 470 and/or RFP. As it stands right now, applicants are required by FCC rules to evaluate all bids received and applicants do not have the authority to disqualify bids that are twice as expensive as the lowest bid received.

Second, USAC's process to determine cost-effectiveness is flawed. USAC's current interpretation of Ysleta places the applicant in an untenable positon - the applicant is required to evaluate all bids, required to use specific bid criteria weighted in a specific manner and conduct an open and fair competitive bidding process. Even when an applicant complies with all of these rules and follows all of the approved processes, if a bid is awarded the most points and determined to be the best fit for the applicant's needs, but is twice as much as a lower bid, what can an applicant do? The applicant can't simply throw out the bid or disqualify it – not only would the winning bidder have legal recourse against the applicant should the applicant throw out that bid, but the applicant could very well be in violation of local or state competitive bidding

rules for not proceeding with the bid that was awarded the most points. Under USAC's interpretation of Ysleta, that bid should never win, but using the FCC's competitive bidding process and rules it did. What is the point of following all of the competitive bidding rules if it produces an outcome that USAC won't fund?

There are no allegations of competitive bidding rule violations by the District. USAC's concerns about cost-effectiveness seem better directed at the bid evaluation process that produced an outcome that USAC deems too expensive (perhaps the Commission should set more stringent procedures for weighting Price of Eligible Goods and Services at 50% of the total available points) than directing those concerns at the District. How can a winning bid be determined to be "too expensive" by USAC if the applicant properly evaluated price (and correctly awarded points) according to the Commission's rules and procedures?

Third, USAC's denial suggests the price differential should have been weighted more heavily than the District weighted it. To reach such a result, USAC is effectively overruling Commission precedent that only requires that pricing be given at least one more point than any other individual category. 45

⁴⁵ As described above, USAC appears to be going beyond Commission precedent to establish a new standard without basis in Commission precedent. USAC, however, is not authorized by the Commission to interpret Commission rules. Under the Commission's rules, USAC "may not make policy, interpret unclear provisions of the statute or rules, or interpret the intent of Congress." 47 C.F.R. § 54.702(c). To the extent the Commission's rules are unclear, USAC has no authority to act without first seeking guidance from the Commission. *See id.* Moreover, the District proceeded entirely in accordance with Commission precedent when it evaluated relevant factors other than price. As a result, USAC has acted outside its authority by finding that Little Axe, despite having strictly followed the Commission's rules and precedent, failed to adhere to the Commission's requirements. Furthermore, if the Commission decides that a revision to the rule would advance program goals, such an interpretation should be provided by the Commission before it is applied, and following a notice-and-comment rulemaking.

At a minimum, USAC's decision here substitutes its judgment on the merits of the competitive bidding process for that of the District. When the Commission established the rules for the E-rate program in 1997, it stressed that a fundamental principle would be the determination of local needs by local decision-makers regarding what services would work best for that school or school district.⁴⁶ It did not try to impose a top-down regime where the federal government decided the merits of each service choice of a particular school or district. The idea was that the thousands of schools and districts would know their own technology needs better than the federal government. The Commission has not wavered from this principle. If this decision stands, USAC would be free to evaluate the merits of the respective bidders without the knowledge that applicants have regarding service quality, service history, personnel qualifications, and the value they are receiving for the services purchased. There is simply no way USAC can make a proper evaluation of the bids without that information. In this case, while Little Axe has attempted to provide that information in responses to USAC's reviews, it appears that USAC has discounted the information or failed to take it into consideration, focusing exclusively on the price of the services.

D. If USAC Still Finds the Services Were Not Cost-Effective, USAC Should Commit Funding for Little Axe at a Level That Is Cost-Effective

USAC should, at a minimum, approve part of Little Axe's funding request. There is precedent for such an approach. In the *Fifth Report and Order*, the Commission provided direction for USAC for recovery of funding when it was improperly disbursed.⁴⁷ Cost-

⁴⁶ Universal Service Order at ¶¶ 481, 574.

⁴⁷ Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism, CC Docket No. 02-6, Fifth Report and Order, FCC 04-190 (2004) at ¶¶ 15-44 (Fifth Report and Order).

effectiveness is not directly addressed in that order.⁴⁸ However, some of the other illustrations provide guidance for the cost-effectiveness rule. If a carrier charges the beneficiary "an inflated price," the *Fifth Report and Order* directs that USAC should recover amounts disbursed in excess of what similar situated customers are normally charged in the marketplace." Similarly, here, if the standard is that cost two times other pricing is not cost-effective, then, by implication, a price 1.9 times the cost is cost-effective. As such, USAC could calculate the cost of the eligible service at 1.9 times that of a lower price and fund that amount for Little Axe. In addition, the Commission has ruled that, when two providers are providing the same service and one is less expensive, the applicant shall be reimbursed for its Internet connection at the lower rate. Following that logic, USAC could reimburse the applicant at the rates offered by a different provider. Such an approach would minimize the harm caused by USAC's delay in determining it had an issue with Little Axe's selection of Meet Point as its service provider.

* * *

_

⁴⁸ *Id.* The Commission states that full recovery is appropriate for competitive bidding violations. However, this is not a competitive bidding violation. USAC found no issues with the competitive bidding process; it disagreed with the outcome. There are no allegations that the process was not fair and open, price was not the primary factor or that bids were not solicited for at least four weeks.

⁴⁹ *Fifth Report and Order* at ¶ 30. The Commission also discusses situations in which the beneficiary has requested a "clearly excessive" level of support. That situation is not applicable here, as the examples are those when the beneficiary is requesting a number of lines or equipment that is beyond what is necessary. There is no dispute here that the District requires this level of capacity for broadband services, nor are there any allegations that these services are duplicative or redundant.

⁵⁰ Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism, Requests for Review by Macomb Intermediate School District, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, FCC 07-64 at ¶ 9 (2007). This rule is applicable when the applicant could have purchased all of the services from one provider at the lower rate but chose not to, and when the services provided do not exceed the total capacity required.

For the reasons stated above, the District respectfully requests that USAC reconsider its

initial decision and grant its funding requests for FY 2013 and FY 2014. As the foregoing has

demonstrated, the District met the Commission requirements for competitive bidding, and

selected the most cost-effective bid available to meet its needs.

List of Exhibits

Exhibit 1: RFP

Exhibit 2: Bids Received

Exhibit 3: 471 Application

Exhibit 4: COMAD

Exhibit 5: Administrator Decision Letters

Exhibit 6: Bid Evaluations

21

Affidavit

Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C.

In the Matter Of))
Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism) CC Docket No. 02-6
Request for Review and/or Waiver By Little Axe Public Schools) Application Nos. 919177, 964528
of the Funding Decisions by the Universal Service Administration Company))

Affidavit of Little Axe School District

I, James Andrew Mobley, swear:

BACKGROUND

- 1. I am the Technology Director for Little Axe Public Schools and have held this position for over six (6) years. I am responsible for all aspects of technology in our school district, from maintaining all district-owned client devices (desktops, laptops, tablets, etc.), network infrastructure (switches, Ethernet and fiber optic cabling, etc.), software procurement, licensing, deployment and management, and server procurement, licensing, deployment and management.
- 2. Prior to accepting my position at Little Axe Public Schools, I was a Senior Desktop Analyst at Devon Energy Corporation, a Fortune 500 energy company for 8 years.
- 3. I received my technology education at a technology certification training school where I earned multiple technology certifications including CompTIA A+, Net+, iNet+, Microsoft Certified Systems Associate (MCSA) and Microsoft Certified Systems Engineer (MCSE)

- between July of 2001 and January of 2002. I earned the Microsoft Certified Desktop Support Technician in 2008 and Microsoft Certified IT Professional in 2009.
- 4. Little Axe Public Schools is a rural district, even though it resides inside the incorporated city limits of Norman, OK, and has an approximate district enrollment of 1,250 students. The district contains an elementary school, a middle school and a high school, as well as service buildings, athletics facilities, and an administration building. The total number of buildings that receive Internet service is fifteen (15).
- 5. Like most schools, technology is an integral part of the success of our students and our faculty's ability to educate them. Our district has seen a rapid increase of both districtowned and personal wireless devices connected to our wireless network infrastructure since it was first installed in August of 2012. Our original wireless network was purchased solely with school funds, and was woefully inadequate. This infrastructure was significantly upgraded with our most recent eRate project, and this increased capacity for wireless connections is the reason we've seen our wireless connections more than double over the last school year. We have also added a cabled computer lab to increase the availability of computers for high school students. As the demands on our wireless network increase, so will the necessity of available Internet bandwidth. At this time, I am the only IT staff employed by the district. I support nearly 600 combined desktops, laptops, tablets, and their peripherals, 50 printers, 26 switches, and 1 firewall. I am also required to identify, evaluate, recommend, procure, license and deploy all software, 'Software as a Service,' and hardware solutions utilized by the faculty, administration and staff in the district. I manage all state and federal filings for supplemental funding for technology (such as

eRate). I am severely understaffed and need all the help I can get ensuring that my computing environment is protected from both internal and external compromise.

IMPORTANCE OF TECHNOLOGY

- 6. Being in a rural, economically-challenged community, the school district relies on technology not only to facilitate engaging lessons, reliable testing environments, and efficient communication with the staff, parents, students and the community at large, but also as an opportunity to expose our students to technology that they typically don't have at home or would otherwise have very little opportunity to become proficient with before graduating. We feel strongly that preparing students for college or entering the work force includes removing some of the fear of sophisticated technologies that is prevalent among some of our adult community members.
- 7. If our telecom or Internet access was unavailable or insufficient, we would lose our phone service (a safety consideration for our students, as well as a hindrance on our ability to function as a school), our student information system (which includes attendance, meal service, discipline, health records, lesson plans, gradebooks, assignments, and more), as well as access to several online educational products that our faculty and staff rely upon to effectively educate and test our student body. In particular, our Special Education services rely on online reporting sites to meet state law requirements regarding the delivery of special education services.
- 8. In speaking with many other technology coordinators and directors from other school districts across the state, I have no faith in the other local providers that submitted bids for Internet service to deliver the reliable connection that my school district requires. In

several cases, districts that changed services from Meet Point Networks to One Net or even a large entity like AT&T have come to regret that choice within just a few months of service.

THE PROCUREMENT

- 9. In six years as Technology Director, I can say with certainty that:
 - We needed affordable connectivity sufficient to handle our needs as demands on our wireless infrastructure continued to grow and utilized more and more of our Internet bandwidth.
 - ii. We needed reliable connectivity to support the learning and teaching experiences; and
 - iii. We needed quality connectivity to assure that the schools received content appropriate to their needs, and filtered out content that was inappropriate.
 - iv. We needed network protections (i.e., firewall) sufficient to protect the network from the millions of daily external attacks the Meet Point head-end firewall has been protecting us from for several years.
 - v. We needed to ensure that, if the network went down, our provider would be available to assist with restoring service as soon as possible.
- 10. Accordingly, I decided what evaluation criteria to use to evaluate the bids received.
- 11. I received a list of possible categories from our consultant, CRW Consulting, but I determined which categories we would use for evaluation of the bids.
- 12. The competitive bidding process was fair and open. Meet Point did not have any role in the development of the RFP nor did it have any information not available to any other bidder.

THE EVALUATION PROCESS 2013 (Internet)

- 13. I decided to use Price of Eligible Goods/Services, Service History, Expertise of Company, Understanding/Completeness of Bids, and Location of Company to evaluate the bids. Price was weighted the most, with a maximum of 25 points possible, then Service History, Expertise and Understanding of the Bid each receiving 20 points, because I believe them to be equally important when selecting a provider for any service. Location of the company was worth the least, at 15 points.
- 14. I wanted to be certain that the selected provider would provide personal, high-quality, reliable and timely service. I have always had a great experience working with the staff of Meet Point Networks and have great respect for their technical expertise and willingness to solve issues immediately.
- 15. I evaluated all bids myself, reviewing each bid in detail. I believe it took me about an hour to review the bids. I also had to do some pricing for a router that the district would have to purchase if we selected OneNet because they do not provide on with their service. This equipment would have cost the district well over \$15,000 and would have had no support from OneNet.
 - a. I allocated 15 of 25 points for Price because MeetPoint was not the least expensive, and awarded 20 and 25 points to One Source and OneNet, respectively, to reflect their lower pricing. Having had no service history with either of the other two companies, I did not award them any points in the Service History category, but awarded Meet Point Networks the full 20 points because of my previous experience with them. This previous level of experience is

characterized by having personal cell phone numbers of the technical staff and owners of Meet Point, as well as on many occasions receiving calls from the Meet Point staff letting me know I had an Internet connection issue before I even knew that I did. Unless my Internet outage was due to an environment power outage, which was clearly out of Meet Point's ability to address, in every case they handled issuing a service request to Cox Communications for me. Based on my conversations with other technical people in other districts who are customers of OneNet and One Source, I only awarded 10 points for Expertise of Company for both. For Understanding/Completeness of Bids, I felt that One Source was certainly incomplete because they did not indicate whether an additional piece of hardware (specifically, a router) would be necessary. The OneNet bid clearly states that a router must be provided by the school, which the administration at the time was not interested in purchasing when Meet Point Networks was able to utilize the existing router that was placed by our previous provider, Cox Communications, because Meet Point was a reseller of Cox Internet. Therefore, One Source received 15 points because there was a chance no router would need to be purchased, and OneNet received 5 points because purchasing a router was required. With Meet Point Networks, part of the service is protection of the connection by a next-generation Palo Alto firewall, which is an invaluable service. Therefore, Meet Point Networks received the full 20 points. The final category, Location of Company, isn't overly relevant for an ISP, until a service call is necessary. Since OneNet is based in Oklahoma City, I awarded them the full 15 points for location. Meet Point Networks is located in Tulsa, so I awarded

- them 10 points. One Source is based in Austin, TX, and received zero points for being out of state.
- b. The issue of pricing was a serious one, and closely considered. Meet Point Networks simply offered more support, better protection of my Internet connection, and didn't require an additional, high-cost out-of-pocket purchase just to establish the connection. These qualities made choosing them the obvious choice.
- 16. The total points awarded were 85, which surpassed the bid evaluation points totals for the other two bidding companies.
- 17. After submitting my bid evaluations to CRW Consulting, they notified the winning bidder on my behalf.

USAC REVIEW OF THESE APPLICATIONS

- 18. In the past, there has never been an issue with our applications being approved for Internet service by Meet Point Networks, because they were properly evaluated within the existing eRate rules. Therefore, I had no concerns with continuing the same process and evaluating bids for Internet service by the same standards.
- 19. I understand the standard, we were to make a choice of the most "cost-effective" provider.

 Accordingly, we evaluated the quality of the services offered and the price of those services.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

[Address] 2000 168th Ave NE

NORMAN OK 73.026

Subscribed and sworn to before me this ______, day of _______, 2016.

OFFICIAL SEAL SYLVIA KAY BOLLES Commission # 00012233 Expires August 13, 2016

8





918.445.0048 Request for Proposal 133

Sign up

Sian In

Home

About Us

Services

e-Rate Info

Testimonials

Contact

RPF Posted 15 October 2012

Little Axe School **District**

District Address

2000 168th Ave NE, Norman, OK 73026



RFP ID: 527680001050031

Bid Deadline:

12 November 2012

Questions Due By:

05 November 2012

RFP Requirements

- All Questions and Bids must be submitted using the on-line RFP system. If for some reason the system is down before the respective deadline, please email your bid to info@crwconsulting.com or fax it to 918.445.0049. Bids or questions submitted in this fashion will be disqualified if the on-line system is active at the time of submission.
- Bidder must agree to participate in USF Program (AKA "E-rate") for the corresponding funding year.
- Please include the correct Service Provider Identification Number (SPIN) on your bid.
- By submitting a bid, bidder certifies that the bidder does have a valid (non-red light status) SPIN for the E-rate program at the time of submission. Should the Applicant discover that the bidder is on red light status, or if the FCC classifies the bidder as on red-light status before work is performed and invoices are paid, the contract will be null and void and the applicant will have no payment obligations to the bidder.
- Bidder is expected to provide the lowest corresponding price per E-rate rules. See http://www.usac.org/sl/serviceproviders/step02/lowest-corresponding-price.aspx for details.
- Contracts should be contingent upon E-rate funding unless stated otherwise.
- Bidder must agree to provide the Applicant the choice of discount methods (SPI or BEAR).
- Bidder will be automatically disqualified if the District determines that the bidding company has offered any employee of the District any individual gift of more than \$20 or gifts totaling more than \$50 within a 12 month period.
- Depending on E-rate funding, the district may choose to proceed with all or part of the projects, at the district's discretion.
- Applicant reserves the right to voluntarily renew any contract for up to (5) consecutive one-year terms upon written notice. We highly suggest your submitted bids and contracts include this statement.

Services and Equipment Requested

Internet Access - Minimum 100 Mb circuit, terminating address 1308 168th Ave NE, Norman 73026 (405)329

You need to login / register to upload bid.




Questions Received with District Answers:

Submit a Question

No Data





E-Rate Funding Year 2013



SPIN 143015254 FCC RN 001199307

MTM – INTERNET ACCESS (Month to Month service -- no contract needed)

Little Axe School District

Internet Access Service Monthly\$ Annual\$

100mb \$2,300.00 \$27,600.00

OneNet Internet services include the connection from your location to our hub site, unlimited email services, web hosting, and related technical support.

Customer will need to provide their own router:

• 100mb will require router with 2 Fast Ethernet Interfaces; one interface for internet connection and one for LAN

Proposed By:	Accepted By:		
IniRagraio			
Ami Layman	Authorized Signature	Date	
Accounts Receivable Supervisor	2		

Accounts Receivable Supervisor OneNet PO Box 108800 Oklahoma City, OK 73101-8800 (888) 566-3638

If you select OneNet as your provider, please sign and date this with your allowable contract date based on your 470 posting.

Please contact OneNet when you are ready to order services.

RETAIN ORIGINAL FOR YOUR ERATE RECORDS

ONE SOURCE N E T W O R PROPOSAL FOR LITTLE AXE SCHOOL DISTRICT 2000 168TH NE AVE, NORMAN, OK 73026 1 Year Term 2 Year Term **3 Year Term** QUANTITY **SERVICES** NRC MRC MRC NRC MRC NRC 100 Mb Internet 1 Dedicated Internet Access 3,759.44 \$ 4,837.59 \$ 2,350.00 \$ 4,592.23 \$ 2,271.88 \$ 250.00 Includes: Fast Ethernet Handoff TOTAL 4,837.59 \$ 2,350.00 \$ 4,592.23 \$ 2,271.88 \$ 3,759.44 \$ 250.00



Mendy Turner | Senior Account Director tel: 512.721.2595 | mobile: 972.201.7722 www.onesourcenetworks.com| Tell us how we are doing

Inc.500

Scott Swope | Senior Account Director tel: 512.721.2596 | mobile: 512-423-0854

www.onesourcenetworks.com | Tell us how we are doing

Inc.500





Proposal Date 10-16-2012
Proposal # MPN 1221

SPIN# 143035519

Meet Point Networks P.O. Box 339 Bixby, OK 74008 Voice 918.557.0277

www.meetpointnetworks.com

Meet Point Networks Rep: Mike Pennell Phone Number: 918.633.6896

Page one (1) of this document is for Internet access pricing options and is informational only. Page two (2) through four (4) is the service agreement contract.

Any estimates in this bid based on funding from the Oklahoma Universal Service Fund are subject to application and approval by the Oklahoma Corporation Commission and any difference in actual OUSF funding and the monthly recurring charges shall be the responsibility of the customer.

Customer Information

Customer Name: Little Axe Public School

Street Address: 2000 168th St. NE

City/St/Zip: Norman OK 73026

Federal Tax ID:

	Taxes and Fees Not Included					
	Service Description	New Te Qty (mo		Monthly Recurring Charge	Annual Charge	One Time Activation and Setup
1	100 Mb Internet Access	1	60 New	\$6,920.00	\$83,040.00	\$650.00
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						

NewNet 66 Services

- ~ NewNet 66 Services are included in the pricing above.
- ~ 24 x 7 Internet Access Troubleshooting & Repair NewNet 66 will work to restore functional Internet access this includes working with all of the necessary telecommunication providers and calling in trouble tickets, if necessary.
- ~ On site visits to restore Internet Access, if necessary.
- ~ Unlimited Email Accounts supporting POP3, Web Mail, and IMAP. (student accounts available on request)
- ~ Web Site Hosting Service 10 Gigabit of space. This service does not include the creation or modification of content.
- ~ Firewall management to include Juniper Networks and Fortigate firewalls.

Meet Point Networks, LLC

Meet Point Networks Service Agreement

10-16-2012

Fax signed copy to 918.512.4400 or email to contracts@meetpointnetworks.com

SPIN# 143035519

Customer Name: Little Axe Public School Meet Point Networks P.O. Box 339 Street Address: 2000 168th St. NE Bixby, OK 74008

		City/St/Zip:	Norman	OK	73026				Voice	918.557.027	7
	Fed	deral Tax ID:									
	Check	the service you	want below	. Select	•		_		Monthly		One Time
		Service Descrip	otion		New Qty	Unit Price	Terms (months)	Туре	Recurring Charge	Annual Charge	Activation and Installation
1		100 Mb Interne	t Access		1	\$6,920.00	60	New	\$6,920.00	\$83,040.00	\$650.00
2											
3											
4											
5											
6											
									ervice Fund are sub actual OUSF fundin		

recurring charges shall be the responsibility of the customer.

E-Rate Customers E-rate customers: The term of this contract is 60 months. During the term of this contract, the applicant may choose any of the above service levels and upgrade to those levels upon written notice to Meet Point Networks. Meet Point Networks will determine the turn up time after the customer contacts us to begin the process.

Customer Authorized Signature		Meet Point Networks Authorized Signature **Mike Pennell**				
Signature		Signature				
		Mike Pennell				
Print		Print				
		President	10-16-2012			
Title or Position	Date	Title or Position	Date			

By signing this Service Agreement, you represent that you are the authorized Customer representative and the above information is true and correct and you accept this Agreement. Both parties agree that each party may use electronic signatures to sign this Service Agreement.

Meet Point Networks may withdraw the proposal at any time prior to Customer signature. If within (30) days after Customer signature, Meet Point Networks determines that customer location is not serviceable under Meet Point Networks normal installation guidelines, Meet Point Networks may withdraw this Service Agreement without liability. Both parties agree that each party may use electronic signatures to sign this Service Agreement.

- **1. Tariffs/Service Guide** If Customer is purchasing any Services that are regulated by the FCC or any state regulatory body ("Regulated Services"), then Customer's use of such Regulated Services is subject to the regulations of the FCC and the regulatory body of the state in which the Customer location receiving these Regulated Services is located (which regulations are subject to change), as well as the rates, terms, and conditions contained in tariffs on file with state and federal regulatory authorities. Termination fees include, but are not limited to, nonrecurring charges, charges paid to third parties on behalf of Customer, and the monthly recurring charges for the balance of the Term.
- 2. Service Start Date and Term This Agreement shall be effective upon execution by the parties. The "Initial Term" shall begin upon installation of Service and shall continue for the applicable Term commitment set forth on the Cover Page; provided that if Customer delays installation or is not ready to receive Services on the agreed-upon installation date, Meet Point Networks may begin billing for Services on the date Services would have been installed. Meet Point Networks shall use reasonable efforts to make the Services available by the requested service date. Meet Point Networks shall not be liable for damages resulting from delays in meeting service dates due to construction delays or reasons beyond its control. If Customer delays installation for a period of three (3) months or longer after the parties' execution of this Agreement, Meet Point Networks reserves the right to terminate this Agreement immediately at any time thereafter and Customer shall be responsible for the full amount of construction costs and any other related costs incurred by Meet Point Networks as of the date of termination. AFTER THE INITIAL TERM, THIS AGREEMENT SHALL AUTOMATICALLY RENEW FOR ONE (1) YEAR TERMS (EACH AN "EXTENDED TERM") UNLESS A PARTY GIVES THE OTHER PARTY WRITTEN TERMINATION NOTICE AT LEAST THIRTY (30) DAYS PRIOR TO THE EXPIRATION OF THE INITIAL TERM OR THEN CURRENT EXTENDED TERM. "Term" shall mean the Initial Term and Extended Term (s), if any. Meet Point Networks reserves the right to increase rates for all Services by no more than ten percent (10%) during any Extended Term by providing Customer with at least sixty (60) days written notice of such rate increase. For the avoidance of doubt, promotional rates and promotional discounts provided to Customer will expire at the end of the Initial Term or earlier as set forth in the promotion language. Customer's payment for Service after notice of a rate increase will be deemed to be Customer's acceptance of the new rate.
- **3. Termination** Customer may terminate any Service before the end of the Term selected by Customer on the Cover Page; provided, however, if Customer terminates any such Service before the end of the Term (except for breach by Meet Point Networks), unless otherwise expressly stated in the General Terms, Customer will be obligated to pay a termination fee equal to the nonrecurring charges (if unpaid) and 100% of the monthly recurring charges for the terminated Service(s) multiplied by the number of full months remaining in the Term. This provision survives termination of the Agreement. If Meet Point Networks is delivering Services via wireless network facilities and there is signal interference with any such Service(s), Meet Point Networks may terminate this Agreement without liability if Meet Point Networks cannot resolve the interference by using commercially reasonable efforts.
- **4. Payment** Customer shall pay for all monthly Service charges, plus one- time activation and set up, and/or construction charges. Unless stated otherwise herein, monthly charges for Services shall begin upon installation of Service, and installation charges, if any, shall be due upon completion of installation. Any amount not received by the due date shown on the applicable invoice will be subject to interest or a late charge no greater than the maximum rate allowed by law. Customer acknowledges and agrees that if Customer fails to pay any amounts when due and fails to cure such non-payment upon receipt of written notice of non-payment from Meet Point Networks, Customer will be deemed to have terminated this Agreement and will be obligated to pay the termination fee described in Section 5, above. If applicable to the Service, Customer shall pay sales, use, gross receipts, and excise taxes, access fees and all other fees, universal service fund assessments, bypass or other local, state and Federal taxes or charges, and deposits, imposed on the use of the Services. Taxes will be separately stated on Customer's invoice. No interest will be paid on deposits unless required by law.
- **5. Service and Installation** Meet Point Networks shall provide Customer with the Services identified on the Cover Page and may provide related facilities and equipment, the ownership of which shall be retained by Meet Point Networks (the "Meet Point Networks Equipment"), or for certain Services, Customer, may purchase equipment from Meet Point Networks ("Customer Purchased Equipment"). Customer is responsible for damage to any facilities or equipment installed or provided by Meet Point Networks (the "Meet Point Networks Equipment"). Customer may use the Services for any lawful purpose, provided that such purpose (a) does not interfere or impair the Meet Point Networks network or Meet Point Networks Equipment and (b) complies with the AUP. Customer shall use the Meet Point Networks Equipment only for the purpose of receiving the Services. Customer shall use Customer Purchased Equipment in accordance with the terms of the related equipment purchase agreement. Unless provided otherwise herein, Meet Point Networks shall use commercially reasonable efforts to maintain the Services in accordance with applicable performance standards.

Contract is subject to availability of facilities and construction charges.

- **6. General Terms** The General Terms are hereby incorporated into this Agreement by reference. Meet Point Networks, in its sole discretion, may modify, supplement or remove any of the General Terms from time to time, without additional notice to Customer, and any such changes will be effective upon Meet Point Networks publishing such changes on the Meet Point Networks web site. BY EXECUTING THIS AGREEMENT AND/OR USING OR PAYING FOR THE SERVICES, CUSTOMER ACKNOWLEDGES THAT IT HAS READ, UNDERSTOOD, AND AGREED TO BE BOUND BY THE GENERAL TERMS.
- 7. LIMITATION OF LIABILITY MEET POINT NETWORKS AND/OR ITS AGENTS SHALL NOT BE LIABLE FOR DAMAGES FOR FAILURE TO FURNISH OR INTERRUPTION OF ANY SERVICES, NOR SHALL MEET POINT NETWORKS OR ITS AGENTS BE RESPONSIBLE FOR FAILURE OR ERRORS IN SIGNAL TRANSMISSION, LOST DATA, FILES OR SOFTWARE DAMAGE REGARDLESS OF THE CAUSE. MEET POINT NETWORKS SHALL NOT BE LIABLE FOR DAMAGE TO PROPERTY OR FOR INJURY TO ANY PERSON ARISING FROM THE INSTALLATION OR REMOVAL OF EQUIPMENT UNLESS CAUSED BY THE NEGLIGENCE OF MEET POINT NETWORKS. UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES WILL MEET POINT NETWORKS BE LIABLE FOR ANY INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, INCLUDING LOST PROFITS, ARISING FROM THIS AGREEMENT OR ITS PROVISION OF THE SERVICES.
- **8. WARRANTIES** EXCEPT AS PROVIDED HEREIN, THERE ARE NO OTHER AGREEMENTS, WARRANTIES OR REPRESENTATIONS, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, EITHER IN FACT OR BY OPERATION OF LAW, STATUTORY OR OTHERWISE, INCLUDING WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, RELATING TO THE SERVICES. SERVICES PROVIDED ARE A BEST EFFORTS SERVICE AND MEET POINT NETWORKS DOES NOT WARRANT THAT THE SERVICES, EQUIPMENT OR SOFTWARE SHALL BE ERROR-FREE OR WITHOUT INTERRUPTION. INTERNET SPEEDS WILL VARY. MEET POINT NETWORKS MAKES NO WARRANTY AS TO TRANSMISSION OR UPSTREAM OR DOWNSTREAM SPEEDS OF THE NETWORK.
- **9. Public Performance.** If Customer engages in a public performance of any copyrighted material contained in any of the Services, Customer, and not Meet Point Networks, shall be responsible for obtaining any public performing licenses at Customer's expense.



FCC Form 471

Approval by OMB 3060-0806

Schools and Libraries Universal Service Description of Services Ordered and Certification Form 471

Estimated Average Burden Hours per Response: 4 hours
This form is designed to help schools and libraries to list the eligible services they have ordered and estimate the annual charges for them so that the Fund Administrator can set aside sufficient support to reimburse providers for services.

Please read instructions before beginning this application. (You can also file online at www.usac.org/sl.)
The instructions include information on the deadlines for filling this application.

Applicant's Form Identifier (Create an identifier for your own reference)	Form 471 Application #:					
Little Axe Y16	919177 (To be assigned by administrator)					
Block 1: Billed Entity Address and Identifications	IV. 2 20 doorg. 100 of documentation					
1 Name of Billed Entity LITTLE AXE SCHOOL DIST I 70						
2 Funding Year 2013						
3a Entity Number 139802						
3b FCC Registration Number 0011906286						
4a Street Address, P.O. Box, or Route Number 2000 168TH AVE NE						
City NORMAN State OK Zip Code 73026-						
4b Telephone Number (405) 329-7691						
4c Fax Number (405) 579-2929						
5a Type of Application (check only one) C Individual School (individual public or non-public school) C School District (LEA; public or non-public [e.g. diocesan] local district representing multiple schools) C Library (including library system, library outlet/branch or library consortium as defined under LSTA) C Consortium (intermediate service agencies, states, state networks, special consortia of schools and/or libraries) C Statewide application for (enter 2-letter state code) representing (check all that apply) ☐ All public schools/districts in the state ☐ All non-public schools in the state ☐ All libraries in the state						
5b Recipient(s) of Services: ☐ Private ☑ Public ☐ Charter ☐ Tribal ☐ Head Start ☐ State Agency						
Entity Number: 139802 Applicant's Form Iden	tifier: Little Axe Y16					
Contact Person: Chris Webber or Karla Hall Contact Phone Number	er: (918) 445-0048					
Block 1: Billed Entity Address and Identifications (continued) 6a Contact Person's Name						
Chris Webber or Karla Hall						
If the Contact Person's Street Address is the same as Item 4 above, check here. \Box If not, complete Item 6b.						
6b Street Address, P.O. Box, or Route Number NOTE: USAC will use this address to mail correspondence about this form. PO BOX 701713						
City TULSA State OK Zip Code 74170-1713						
Check the box next to your preferred mode of contact and provide your contact information. One box MUST be ch	ecked and an entry provided.					
☐ 6c Telephone Number (918) 445 - 0048 ☐ 6d Fax Number (918) 445 - 0049 ☐ 6e E-Mail Address info@crwconsulting.com Re-enter E-mail Address info@crwconsulting.com	Gd Fax Number (918) 445 - 0049 Ge E-Mail Address info@crwconsulting.com					
6f Holiday/vacation/summer contact information: please include name of alternate contact (if applicable) and alternate phone, fax or E-mail address						
If a consultant is assisting you with your application process, please complete Item 6g below:						
6g Consultant Name Chris Webber Name of Consultant's Employer CRW Consulting Consultant's Street Address P.O. Box 701713						
City Tulsa State OK Zip Code 74170 Consultant's Telephone Number (918) 445-0048 Ext. Consultant's Fax Number (918) 445-0049 Consultant's E-mail Address info@crwconsulting.com Re-enter E-mail Address info@crwconsulting.com Consultant Registration Number 16024800						

	Number: 139802		Applicant's Form Identifier: Little Axe Y16					
onta	ct Person: Chris Wel	ober or Karla Hall	Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048					
choc	nts. ols/school districts c	n EVERY Form 471 you file for the services omplete the left-hand column and libraries s Ordered for Schools and Libraries fron	es complete the right-hand column. Con	Il rows that apply to services for which you are requesting sortia complete all that apply.				
			Schools	Libraries				
7a	Number of students	or patrons to be served	706	0				
b	b Telephone service: Number of classrooms or rooms with phone service 4		40	0				
С			750	0				
d	Number of classroon	ns or rooms with Internet access	125	0				
	<u> </u>	s or other devices with Internet access	375	0				
f Number of dial-up Internet access and other connections of up to 200 kbps:		ernet access and other connections of up	0	0				
	High-speed Internet	At or greater than 200 kbps and less than 1.5 mbps	0	0				
	access services: Number of buildings	At or greater than 1.5 mbps and less than 3 mbps	0	0				
	served at the following speeds (please use	At or greater than 3 mbps and less than 10 mbps	0	0				
•	advertised download speed coming into	At or greater than 10 mbps and less than 25 mbps	0	0				
	building, not actual speed in classroom	At or greater than 25 mbps and less than 50 mbps	0	0				
	or work area):	At or greater than 50 mbps and less than 100 mbps	0	0				
		Greater than 100 mbps	11	0				
ock	3:							
8	[Reserved]							

Column 11 by the total of Column 4. Enter the

LIBRARY SYSTEMS: Calculate the total of Column 7. Divide this total by the number of outlets/branches. Enter the result in Column 15.

CONSORTIA: Calculate the total of Column 14. Divide this total by the number of member entities. Enter the result in Column 15.

result in Column 15

Entity Number: 139802 Applicant's Form Identifier: Little Axe Y16 Contact Person: Chris Webber or Karla Hall Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048 Block 4: Discount Calculation Worksheet Worksheet - 158071 Page 1 of 1 The Block 4 worksheet is used to calculate your discount for services. You will complete one or more worksheets depending on the type of application you are filing. If you file more than one worksheet, please number the completed worksheets to assure that they are all processed correctly. Please refer to the instructions for information specific to the Type of Application you indicated in Block 1, Item 5. ☐ Check here if this worksheet contains all eligible entities in the school district or library system. (For Administrator's Use) 9a List entities and calculate discount(s): School District or Library System Name: School District or Library System Entity Number: 10 11 13 14 15 4 5 7 8 9 12 3 6 nsert appropriate odes(s): P= pre-K, = Head Start, A = Entity Number of Schoo Jult Education, J = District in which Library, venile Justicem E = ESA, D = Entity Number AND NCES Code (for Schools or FSCS Code (for Libraries) Number of Students Eligible for NSLP Percent of Students Eligible for NSLP (Col. 5 / Col. 4) Disc. from Disc. Matrix Weighted Production Calculating Shared Discount (Col. 4 x Col. 7) Admin Entity o NIF Total Numbe of Students Alt Dis Mech Shared Name of Eligible Entity Entity Dormatory ALL ENTITIES SCHOOLS AND LIBRARIES Library Outlet/Branch Consortia LITTLE AXE HIGH SCHOOL 83610 R 282 67.376% Ν Ν 190 80 Ν 22560 40 17880 02206 LITTLE AXE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL 83609 40 17880 00864 R 615 460 74.7979 90 Ν Ν Ν 55350 LITTLE AXE MIDDLE SCHOOL 83611 R 282 180 Ν 22560 63.830% 80 Ν Ν 40 17880 00103 TECH BUILDING 16059359 R 0.000% 84 Ν Ν Ν VO-TECH BUILDING R 84 39 70 5880 16059360 46 429% N N N MAINTENANCE FACILITY 16059376 R 84 Ν Ν 0 0 0.000% Ν TRANSPORTATION BUILDING 16059367 R 0 0 84 Ν Ν 0.000% Ν FIELDHOUSE 16059368 R 17 12 70.588% 80 Ν N N 1360 CENTRAL OFFICE R 0 16059370 0 0.000% 84 Ν Ν Ν Ω DAY CARE R 0 0.000% 84 Ν N N 16059371 CAFETERIA 16059364 R 0 0 0.000% 84 N N N 0 9b Shared Services SCHOOL DISTRICTS: (Including groups of schools within school districts.) Calculate the totals of Columns 4 and 11. Divide the total of 1280 107710 84%

Entity Number: 139802 Ap			Applicant's Form Identifier: Little Axe Y16			
Contact Person: Chris Webber or Karla Hall	Co	Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048				
Block 5: Discount Funding Request(s) Instructions: Use one Block 5 page for EACH service (Funding Reques discounts. Make as many copies of this page as needed, and number th are all processed correctly.	st Number) for which y e completed pages to	you are requesting o assure that they Block 5, page 1 of 7 FRN 2507324 (to be assigned by administrator)				
10 If this is a duplicate Funding Request (e.g., of an FRN that is etc.), check this box and enter the original FRN in the space		der appeal,				
11 Category of Service (only ONE category should be checked)		23	Calculations			
PRIORITY 1 PRIORITY 2 Telecommunications Service Internal Connections Other than I Internet Access Basic Maintenance of Internal Co			Monthly charges (total amount per month for service) \$0.00			
- Basic Maintenance of Internal Co			B. How much of the amount in A is ineligible?			
12 Form 470 Application Number 568810001045195			\$0.00			
13 SPIN – Service Provider Identification Number		Recurring Charges	C. Eligible monthly pre-discount amount (A minus B)			
		ona.goo	\$0.00			
143031119			D. Number of months service provided in funding year			
14 Service Provider Name			12			
			E. Annual pre-discount amount for eligible recurring charges (C x D)			
Intrafinity Inc						
15a Check this box if this Funding Request is for non-contracte	d tariffed or month		\$0.00			
to-month services.	d tarified of month-		F. Annual non-recurring charges			
15b Contract Number			\$4,171.00			
N/A			G. How much of the amount in F is ineligible?			
N/A		Non-				
15c Check this box if this Funding Request is covered under a master contract (a contract negotiated by a third party, the terms and conditions of which are then made available to an eligible entity that purchases directly from the service provider). 15d Check this box if this Funding Request is a continuation of an FRN from a			\$0.00			
previous funding year based on a multi-year contract. If so, provide that	FRN here:		H. Annual eligible pre-discount amount for non-recurring charges (F			
16a Billing Account Number (e.g., billed telephone number)			minus G)			
16b Check this box if there are multiple Billing Account Numbers	s and attach a		\$4,171.00			
complete list of those numbers to this page.			I. Total funding year pre-discount amount (E + H)			
17 Allowable Vendor Selection/Contract Date (mm/dd/yyyy)			\$4,171.00			
(based on Form 470 filing)		Total Charges	J. Discount from Block 4 Worksheet 84.00			
10/11/2012		ona.goo	K. Funding Commitment Request (I x J)			
18 Contract Award Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 03/09/2013			\$3,503.64			
19 Service Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 07/01/2013						
20a Service End Date (mm/dd/yyyy)						
Contract Expiration Date 20b (mm/dd/yyyy) 06/30/2014						
21 Description of This Service: NOTE: All Item 21 Attachments must be filed before the You MUST attach a description of the service, including a breakdown of components, costs, must include any additional account or telephone numbers if the billed account has multiple non Number, and note number in space provided.			rer name, make and model number. You			
	a. If the service is site and not shared by oth the entity from Block	hers), list th	e Entity Number of			
22 Entity/Entities Receiving This Service:	b. If the service is showorksheet, list the wo	ared by all e	entities on a Block 4			

Entity Number: 139802 Ap			Applicant's Form Identifier: Little Axe Y16			
Contact Person: Chris Webber or Karla Hall			ontact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048			
Block 5: Discount Funding Request(s) Instructions: Use one Block 5 page for EACH service (Funding Request Number) for which y discounts. Make as many copies of this page as needed, and number the completed pages to are all processed correctly.						
10 If this is a duplicate Funding Request (e.g., of an FRN that is etc.), check this box and enter the original FRN in the space		nder appeal,				
11 Category of Service (only ONE category should be checked)		23	Calculations			
PRIORITY 1 PRIORITY 2 Internal Connections Other than leading to the connection of t	Basic Maintenance		A. Monthly charges (total amount per month for service)			
☐ Internet Access ☐ Basic Maintenance of Internal Co			\$3,820.76			
12 Form 470 Application Number	, incoderio		B. How much of the amount in A is ineligible?			
''			\$0.00			
532790000936876 13 SPIN – Service Provider Identification Number		Recurring	C. Eligible monthly pre-discount amount (A minus B)			
13 SPIN – Service Provider Identification Number		Charges	\$3,820.76			
143033971			D. Number of months service provided in funding year			
14 Service Provider Name			12			
			E. Annual pre-discount amount for eligible recurring charges (C x D)			
Jive Communications, Inc.						
15a Check this box if this Funding Request is for non-contracte	ed tariffed or month-	 	\$45,849.12			
to-month services.	d tarried of month		F. Annual non-recurring charges			
15b Contract Number		ill	\$0.00			
N/A			G. How much of the amount in F is ineligible?			
15c ☐ Check this box if this Funding Request is covered under a master contract (a contract negotiated by a third party, the terms and conditions of which are then made available to an eligible entity that purchases directly from the service provider). 15d		Non- Recurring Charges	\$0.00			
previous funding year based on a multi-year contract. If so, provide that 2340254	FRN here:		H. Annual eligible pre-discount amount for non-recurring charges (F minus G)			
16a Billing Account Number (e.g., billed telephone number)						
_		 	\$0.00			
16b LCheck this box if there are multiple Billing Account Numbers complete list of those numbers to this page.	s and attach a		I. Total funding year pre-discount amount (E + H)			
17 Allowable Vendor Selection/Contract Date (mm/dd/yyyy)		Total	\$45,849.12			
(based on Form 470 filing)		Charges	J. Discount from Block 4 Worksheet 84.00			
11/11/2011			K. Funding Commitment Request (I x J)			
18 Contract Award Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 01/04/2012			\$38,513.26			
19 Service Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 07/01/2013						
20a Service End Date (mm/dd/yyyy)						
Contract Expiration Date 20b (mm/dd/yyyy) 06/30/2015						
21 Description of This Service: NOTE: All Item 21 Attachments must be filed before the close of the filing window. Attachment You MUST attach a description of the service, including a breakdown of components, costs, manufacturer name, make and model number. You must include any additional account or telephone numbers if the billed account has multiple numbers. Label the description with an Attachment Number, and note number in space provided.						
22 Entity/Entities Receiving This Service:	a. If the service is sit and not shared by ot the entity from Block	hers), list th	ne Entity Number of			
		shared by all entities on a Block 4 worksheet number (e.g., 1): 1580711				

			Applicant's Form Identifier: Little Axe Y16			
Contact Person: Chris Webber or Karla Hall	Co	ntact Phon	e Numbe	er: (918) 445-0048		
Block 5: Discount Funding Request(s) Instructions: Use one Block 5 page for EACH service (Funding Reques discounts. Make as many copies of this page as needed, and number th are all processed correctly.				Block 5, page 3 of 7 FRN 2507360 (to be assigned by administrator)		
10 If this is a duplicate Funding Request (e.g., of an FRN that is etc.), check this box and enter the original FRN in the space	not yet approved, un provided:	under appeal,				
11 Category of Service (only ONE category should be checked)		23	Calcula	itions		
			A. Mon	nthly charges (total amount per month for service)		
PRIORITY 1 PRIORITY 2 Internal Connections Other than I	Dania Maintananaa					
<u> </u>			,	\$122.03		
☐ Internet Access ☐ Basic Maintenance of Internal Co	nnections		B. How	v much of the amount in A is ineligible?		
12 Form 470 Application Number				\$0.00		
568810001045195		Recurring		ible monthly pre-discount amount (A minus B)		
13 SPIN – Service Provider Identification Number		Charges	C. Eligi	ble monthly pre-discount amount (A minus B)		
143025240				\$122.03		
14 Service Provider Name			D. Num	nber of months service provided in funding year		
14 Scriber reviser name				12		
			E. Annı	ual pre-discount amount for eligible recurring charges (C x D)		
AT&T Mobility			l .	M4 404 00		
15a Check this box if this Funding Request is for non-contracte	d tariffed or month-	\blacksquare		\$1,464.36 ual non-recurring charges		
to-month services.			F. Alliit	dai non-recurring charges		
15b Contract Number				\$0.00		
мтм			G. How	v much of the amount in F is ineligible?		
15c Check this box if this Funding Request is covered under a master contract (a contract negotiated by a third party, the terms and conditions of which are then made available to an eligible entity that purchases directly from the service provider).		Non- Recurring Charges	:	\$0.00		
15d Check this box if this Funding Request is a continuation of previous funding year based on a multi-year contract. If so, provide that			H. Ann	ual eligible pre-discount amount for non-recurring charges (F		
16a Billing Account Number (e.g., billed telephone number)			minus G	i)		
16b Check this box if there are multiple Billing Account Numbers	s and attach a			\$0.00		
complete list of those numbers to this page.	s and allacin a		I. Total	funding year pre-discount amount (E + H)		
17 Allowable Vendor Selection/Contract Date (mm/dd/yyyy)			,	\$1,464.36		
(based on Form 470 filing)		Total Charges	J. Disc	ount from Block 4 Worksheet 84.00		
10/11/2012		Charges	K Eun	ding Commitment Request (I x J)		
18 Contract Award Date (mm/dd/yyyy)			K. Tulik	\$1,230.06		
19 Service Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy)						
07/01/2013 20a Service End Date (mm/dd/yyyy)						
06/30/2014						
Contract Expiration Date 20b (mm/dd/yyyy)						
21 Description of This Service: NOTE: All Item 21 Attachments must be filed before the close of the filing window. Attachment You MUST attach a description of the service, including a breakdown of components, costs, manufacturer name, make and model number. You must include any additional account or telephone numbers if the billed account has multiple numbers. Label the description with an Attachment 2 Number, and note number in space provided.						
22 Entity/Entities Receiving This Service:	a. If the service is sit and not shared by of the entity from Block	thers), list the 4 receiving	e Entity N this serv	Number of rice:		
		hared by all entities on a Block 4 worksheet number (e.g., 1): 1580711				

Entity Number: 139802 Ap			Applicant's Form Identifier: Little Axe Y16			
Contact Person: Chris Webber or Karla Hall	Co	Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048				
Block 5: Discount Funding Request(s) Instructions: Use one Block 5 page for EACH service (Funding Request Number) for which y discounts. Make as many copies of this page as needed, and number the completed pages to are all processed correctly.						
10 If this is a duplicate Funding Request (e.g., of an FRN that is etc.), check this box and enter the original FRN in the space		der appeal,				
11 Category of Service (only ONE category should be checked)		23	Calculations			
PRIORITY 1 PRIORITY 2 Telecommunications Service Internal Connections Other than	Basic Maintenance		A. Monthly charges (total amount per month for service)			
Internet Access Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections 12 Form 470 Application Number			\$6,920.00			
			How much of the amount in A is ineligible? \$0.00			
527680001050031		Recurring	C. Eligible monthly pre-discount amount (A minus B)			
13 SPIN – Service Provider Identification Number		Charges	\$6,920.00			
143035519			D. Number of months service provided in funding year			
14 Service Provider Name			, ,,			
			E. Annual pre-discount amount for eligible recurring charges (C x D)			
Meet Point Networks LLC			L. Annual pre-discount amount for eligible recurring charges (O X D)			
15a Check this box if this Funding Request is for non-contracte	d tariffed as month		\$83,040.00			
to-month services.	ed tarmed or month-		F. Annual non-recurring charges			
15b Contract Number			\$650.00			
N/A 15c		Non- Recurring Charges	G. How much of the amount in F is ineligible?			
			\$0.00			
15d Check this box if this Funding Request is a continuation of previous funding year based on a multi-year contract. If so, provide that	t FRN here:		H. Annual eligible pre-discount amount for non-recurring charges (F			
16a Billing Account Number (e.g., billed telephone number)			minus G)			
16b	rs and attach a	 	\$650.00			
complete list of those numbers to this page.			I. Total funding year pre-discount amount (E + H)			
17 Allowable Vendor Selection/Contract Date (mm/dd/yyyy)		Total	\$83,690.00			
(based on Form 470 filing)		Charges	J. Discount from Block 4 Worksheet 84.00			
11/12/2012 18 Contract Award Date (mm/dd/yyyy)			K. Funding Commitment Request (I x J) \$70,299.60			
02/09/2013						
19 Service Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 07/01/2013						
20a Service End Date (mm/dd/yyyy)						
Contract Expiration Date 20b (mm/dd/yyyy) 06/30/2018						
21 Description of This Service: NOTE: All Item 21 Attachments You MUST attach a description of the service, including a breakdown must include any additional account or telephone numbers if the bille Number, and note number in space provided.	of components, costs	s, manufactu	rer name, make and model number. You			
22 Entity/Entities Receiving This Service:	a. If the service is sit and not shared by of the entity from Block	thers), list th	e Entity Number of			
b. If the service is sl		nared by all entities on a Block 4 vorksheet number (e.g., 1): 1580711				

Entity Number: 139802 Ap			Applicant's Form Identifier: Little Axe Y16			
Contact Person: Chris Webber or Karla Hall	Co	Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048				
Block 5: Discount Funding Request(s) Instructions: Use one Block 5 page for EACH service (Funding Request Number) for which y discounts. Make as many copies of this page as needed, and number the completed pages to are all processed correctly.						
10		der appeal,				
11 Category of Service (only ONE category should be checked)		23	Calculations			
PRIORITY 1 PRIORITY 2 If Telecommunications Service	Basic Maintenance		A. Monthly charges (total amount per month for service)			
Internet Access Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections 12 Form 470 Application Number			\$881.93 B. How much of the amount in A is ineligible?			
			\$0.00			
568810001045195 13 SPIN – Service Provider Identification Number		Recurring	C. Eligible monthly pre-discount amount (A minus B)			
13 SFIN - Service Provider Identification Number		Charges	\$881.93			
143004662			D. Number of months service provided in funding year			
14 Service Provider Name			10			
			E. Annual pre-discount amount for eligible recurring charges (C x D)			
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company						
15a Check this box if this Funding Request is for non-contracte	ed tariffed or month-	╟──┤	\$10,583.16			
to-month services.	od tarmed or monar		F. Annual non-recurring charges			
15b Contract Number			\$0.00			
MTM 15c		Non- Recurring Charges	G. How much of the amount in F is ineligible?			
			\$0.00			
15d Check this box if this Funding Request is a continuation of previous funding year based on a multi-year contract. If so, provide that	t FRN here:		H. Annual eligible pre-discount amount for non-recurring charges (F			
16a Billing Account Number (e.g., billed telephone number)			minus G)			
16b Check this box if there are multiple Billing Account Number	rs and attach a		\$0.00			
complete list of those numbers to this page.	3 and attach a		I. Total funding year pre-discount amount (E + H)			
17 Allowable Vendor Selection/Contract Date (mm/dd/yyyy)			\$10,583.16			
(based on Form 470 filing)		Total Charges	J. Discount from Block 4 Worksheet 84.00			
10/11/2012 18 Contract Award Date (mm/dd/yyyy)		ona.goo	K. Funding Commitment Request (I x J) \$8,889.85			
		┞──				
19 Service Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 07/01/2013						
20a Service End Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 06/30/2014						
Contract Expiration Date 20b (mm/dd/yyyy)						
21 Description of This Service: NOTE: All Item 21 Attachments You MUST attach a description of the service, including a breakdown must include any additional account or telephone numbers if the bille Number, and note number in space provided.	of components, costs	s, manufactu	rer name, make and model number. You			
22 Entity/Entities Receiving This Service:	a. If the service is sit and not shared by of the entity from Block	thers), list th	e Entity Number of			
b. If the service is si		nared by all entities on a Block 4 vorksheet number (e.g., 1): 1580711				

Entity Number: 139802 Ap			Applicant's Form Identifier: Little Axe Y16			
			Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048			
Block 5: Discount Funding Request(s) Instructions: Use one Block 5 page for EACH service (Funding Request Number) for which y discounts. Make as many copies of this page as needed, and number the completed pages to are all processed correctly.						
10 If this is a duplicate Funding Request (e.g., of an FRN that is etc.), check this box and enter the original FRN in the space		der appeal,				
11 Category of Service (only ONE category should be checked)	1	23	Calculations			
PRIORITY 1 PRIORITY 2 Internal Connections Other than			Monthly charges (total amount per month for service) \$39.83			
nternet Access			B. How much of the amount in A is ineligible?			
12 Form 470 Application Number 568810001045195			\$0.00			
13 SPIN – Service Provider Identification Number		Recurring	C. Eligible monthly pre-discount amount (A minus B)			
		Charges	\$39.83			
143008823			D. Number of months service provided in funding year			
14 Service Provider Name			10			
			E. Annual pre-discount amount for eligible recurring charges (C x D)			
SBC Long Distance, LLC.			L. Allitual pre-discount amount for eligible recurring charges (O X D)			
	11. "" 1	 	\$477.96			
15a	ed tariffed or month-		F. Annual non-recurring charges			
15b Contract Number			\$0.00			
мтм			G. How much of the amount in F is ineligible?			
		Non-				
15c Check this box if this Funding Request is covered under a master contract (a contract negotiated by a third party, the terms and conditions of which are then made			\$0.00			
available to an eligible entity that purchases directly from the service pr		Recurring Charges				
15d Check this box if this Funding Request is a continuation of previous funding year based on a multi-year contract. If so, provide that	an FRN from a		H. Annual eligible pre-discount amount for non-recurring charges (F			
16a Billing Account Number (e.g., billed telephone number)	t Frin liele.		minus G)			
Toa Billing Account Number (e.g., billed telephone number)						
			\$0.00			
16b Check this box if there are multiple Billing Account Number complete list of those numbers to this page.	s and attach a		I. Total funding year pre-discount amount (E + H)			
17 Allowable Vendor Selection/Contract Date (mm/dd/yyyy)			\$477.96			
(based on Form 470 filing)		Total	J. Discount from Block 4 Worksheet 84.00			
10/11/2012		Charges				
18 Contract Award Date (mm/dd/yyyy)			K. Funding Commitment Request (I x J) \$401.49			
19 Service Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 07/01/2013						
20a Service End Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 06/30/2014						
Contract Expiration Date						
20b (mm/dd/yyyy)						
21 Description of This Service: NOTE: All Item 21 Attachments You MUST attach a description of the service, including a breakdown must include any additional account or telephone numbers if the bille Number, and note number in space provided.	of components, costs	s, manufactu	urer name, make and model number. You			
	a. If the service is site					
22 Entity/Entities Receiving This Service:	the entity from Block	4 receiving	this service:			
	b. If the service is sh worksheet, list the w	nared by all entities on a Block 4 vorksheet number (e.g., 1): 1580711				

Entity Number: 139802			Applicant's Form Identifier: Little Axe Y16			
Contact Person: Chris Webber or Karla Hall Co			Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048			
Block 5: Discount Funding Request(s) Instructions: Use one Block 5 page for EACH service (Funding Request Number) for which discounts. Make as many copies of this page as needed, and number the completed pages to are all processed correctly.						
10		under appeal,				
11 Category of Service (only ONE category should be checked)		23	Calculations			
PRIORITY 1 PRIORITY 2 ☐ Telecommunications Service ☐ Internal Connections Other than Basi ☑ Internet Access ☐ Basic Maintenance of Internal Conne			A. Monthly charges (total amount per month for service) \$7,420.00 B. How much of the amount in A is ineligible?			
12 Form 470 Application Number 532790000936876	Form 470 Application Number		\$0.00 C. Eligible monthly pre-discount amount (A minus B)			
13 SPIN – Service Provider Identification Number 143018999		Recurring Charges	\$7,420.00 D. Number of months service provided in funding year			
Service Provider Name CoxCom, Inc. dba Cox Communications Oklahoma City			12 E. Annual pre-discount amount for eligible recurring charges (C x D)			
15a Check this box if this Funding Request is for non-contracted ta to-month services. 15b Contract Number	riffed or month-		\$89,040.00 F. Annual non-recurring charges \$0.00			
N/A			G. How much of the amount in F is ineligible?			
15c			\$0.00 H. Annual eligible pre-discount amount for non-recurring charges (F			
previous funding year based on a multi-year contract. If so, provide that FRI 2340284 16a Billing Account Number (e.g., billed telephone number)	in riere.		minus G)			
16b Check this box if there are multiple Billing Account Numbers an complete list of those numbers to this page.	d attach a		\$0.00 I. Total funding year pre-discount amount (E + H) \$89.040.00			
17 Allowable Vendor Selection/Contract Date (mm/dd/yyyy) (based on Form 470 filing)		Total Charges	J. Discount from Block 4 Worksheet 84.00			
11/11/2011			K. Funding Commitment Request (I x J) \$74,793.60			
18 Contract Award Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 03/13/2012						
19 Service Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 07/01/2013						
20a Service End Date (mm/dd/yyyyy)						
Contract Expiration Date 20b (mm/dd/yyyy) 06/30/2017						
21 Description of This Service: NOTE: All Item 21 Attachments mus You MUST attach a description of the service, including a breakdown of c must include any additional account or telephone numbers if the billed acc Number, and note number in space provided.	components, costs	s, manufacti	urer name, make and model number. You			
a. If the service is site-specific (provided to one site and not shared by others), list the Entity Number of the entity from Block 4 receiving this service:			e Entity Number of this service:			
	If the service is sh rksheet, list the w		entities on a Block 4 mber (e.g., 1): 1580711			

Entity Number: 139802 Applicant's Form Identifier: Little Axe Y16					
, -	Person: Chris Webber or Karla Hall	Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048			
Contact	erson. Chiris Webber of Rana Hall	Contact Frione	Number: (910) 443-0040		
Block 6:	Certifications and Signature				
24 🔽	I certify that the entities listed in Block 4 of this application are eligible for support	rt because they ar	re: (Check one or both.)		
а	schools under the statutory definitions of elementary and secondary school 7801(18) and (38), that do not operate as for-profit businesses and do not				
b	Illibraries or library consortia eligible for assistance from a State library adm Act of 1996 that do not operate as for-profit businesses and whose budget limited to, elementary, secondary schools, colleges, or universities.	ninistrative agency s are completely s	under the Library Services and Technology separate from any schools, including, but not		
I certify that the entity I represent or the entities listed on this application have secured access, separately or through this program, to all of the resources, including computers, training, software, internal connections, maintenance, and electrical capacity, necessary to use the services purchased effectively. I recognize that some of the aforementioned resources are not eligible for support. I certify that the entities I represent or the entities listed on this application have secured access to all of the resources to pay the discounted charges for eligible services from funds to which access has been secured in the current funding year. I certify that the Billed Entity will pay the non-discount portion of the cost of the goods and services to the service provider(s).					
	al funding year pre-discount amount on this Form 471 the entries from Items 23I on all Block 5 Discount Funding Requests.)		235275.6		
	al funding commitment request amount on this Form 471 I the entries from Items 23K on all Block 5 Discount Funding Requests.)		197631.5		
c Tota (Sub	al applicant non-discount share tract Item 25b from Item 25a.)		37644.1		
	al budgeted amount allocated to resources not eligible for E-rate support		300000		
e Tota servi	al amount necessary for the applicant to pay the non-discount share of the ices requested on this application AND to secure access to the resources issary to make effective use of the discounts. (Add Items 25c and 25d.)		337644.1		
E	Check this box if you are receiving any of the funds in Item 25e directly from a se Billed Entity for this funding year, or if a service provider listed on any of the Forn you in locating funds in Item 25e.				
	I certify that, if required by Commission rules, all of the individual schools and lib covered by technology plans that do or will cover all 12 months of the funding ye by a state or other authorized body or an SLD-certified technology plan approve	ear, and that have	been or will be approved		
	Or 🔽 I certify that no technology plan is required by Commission rules.				
	I certify that (if applicable) I posted my Form 470 and (if applicable) made any related RFP available for at least 28 days before considering all bids received and selecting a service provider. I certify that all bids submitted were carefully considered and the most cost-effective service offering was selected, with price being the primary factor considered, and is the most cost-effective means of meeting educational needs and technology plan goals.				
	I certify that the entity responsible for selecting the service provider(s) has reviewed all applicable FCC, state, and local procurement/competitive bidding requirements and that the entity or entities listed on this application have complied with them.				
	I certify that the services the applicant purchases at discounts provided by 47 U.S.C. § 254 will be used primarily for educational purposes and will not be sold, resold or transferred in consideration for money or any other thing of value, except as permitted by the Commission's rules at 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.500, 54.513. Additionally, I certify that the entity or entities listed on this application have not received anything of value or a promise of anything of value, other than services and equipment sought by means of this form, from the service provider, or any representative or agent thereof or any consultant in connection with this request for services.				
	I certify that I and the entity(ies) I represent have complied with all program rules and I acknowledge that failure to do so may result in denial of discount funding and/or cancellation of funding commitments. There are signed contracts covering all of the services listed on this Form 471 except for those services provided under non-contracted tariffed or month-to-month arrangements. I acknowledge that failure to comply with program rules could result in civil or criminal prosecution by the appropriate law enforcement authorities.				

Entity N	Entity Number: 139802 Applicant's Form Identifier: Little Axe Y16						
Contact	Person: Chris Webber or Karla Hall	Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048					
Block 6: Certification and Signature (Continued)							
31 🔽	I acknowledge that the discount level used for shared services is conditional, for future years, upon ensuring that the most disadvantaged schools and libraries that are treated as sharing in the service, receive an appropriate share of benefits from those services.						
32 ▼	I certify that I will retain required documents for a period of at least five years after the last day of service delivered. I certify that I will retain all documents necessary to demonstrate compliance with the statute and Commission rules regarding the application for, receipt of, and delivery of services receiving schools and libraries discounts, and that if audited, I will make such records available to the Administrator. I acknowledge that I may be audited pursuant to participation in the schools and libraries program.						
33 ☑	I certify that I am authorized to order telecommunications and other supported services for the eligible entity(ies) listed on this application. I certify that I am authorized to submit this request on behalf of the eligible entity(ies) listed on this application, that I have examined this request, that all of the information on this form is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, that the entities that are receiving discounts pursuant to this application have complied with the terms, conditions and purposes of the program, that no kickbacks were paid to anyone and that false statements on this form can be punished by fine or forfeiture under the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. §§ 502, 503(b), or fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, 18 U.S.C. § 1001 and civil violations of the False Claims Act.						
34 ☑	I acknowledge that FCC rules provide that persons who have been convicted their participation in the schools and libraries support mechanism are subject reasonable measures to be informed, and will notify USAC should I be inform application, or any person associated in any way with my entity and/or the enheld civilly liable for acts arising from their participation in the schools and library.	t to suspension and debarment from the program. I will institute med or become aware that I or any of the entities listed on this ntities listed on this application, is convicted of a criminal violation or					
35 F	I certify that if any of the Funding Requests on this Form 471 are for discount components, that I have allocated the eligible and ineligible components as re \S 54.504(g)(1), (2).						
36 ☑	I certify that this funding request does not constitute a request for internal cor the Commission requirement that eligible entities are not eligible for such sup Commission's rules at 47 C.F.R. § 54.506(c).						
37 ☑	I certify that the non-discount portion of the costs for eligible services will not services featured on this Form 471 are net of any rebates or discounts offere rule, the provision, by the provider of a supported service, of free services or rebate of some or all of the cost of the supported services.	ed by the service provider. I acknowledge that, for the purpose of this					
38	Signature of authorized	39 Date					
	person						
40	Printed name of authorized person Chris Webber						
41	Title or position of authorized person Consultant						
	$\hfill \Box$ Check here if the consultant in Item 6g is the Authorized Person.						
42a	Street Address, P.O. Box, or Route Number PO BOX 701713						
	City TULSA State OK Zip Code 74170-1713						

Entity Number: 139802 Applicant's Form Identifier: Little Axe Y16 Contact Person: Chris Webber or Karla Hall Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048 Telephone Numb Ext. of authorized Person (918) 445-0048 Fax Number of Authorized Person (918) 445-0049 E-mail Address 42d of authorized Person info@crwconsulting.com Re-enter E-mail Address info@crwconsulting.com Name of Authorized **CRW** Consulting

NOTICE: Section 54.504 of the Federal Communications Commission's rules requires all schools and libraries ordering services that are eligible for and seeking universal service discounts to file this Services Ordered and Certification Form (FCC Form 471) with the Universal Service Administrator. 47 C.F.R.§ 54.504(c). The collection of information stems from the Commission's authority under Section 254 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. 47 U.S.C. § 254. The data in the report will be used to ensure that schools and libraries comply with the competitive bidding requirement contained in 47C.F.R. § 54.504. All schools and libraries planning to order services eligible for universal service discounts must file this form themselves or as part of a consortium.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The FCC is authorized under the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, to collect the information we request in this form. We will use the information you provide to determine whether approving this application is in the public interest. If we believe there may be a violation or a potential violation of any applicable statute, regulation, rule or order, your application may be referred to the Federal, state, or local agency responsible for investigating, prosecuting, enforcing, or implementing the statute, rule, regulation or order. In certain cases, the information in your application may be disclosed to the Department of Justice or a court or adjudicative body when (a) the FCC; or (b) any employee of the FCC; or (c) the United States Government is a party of a proceeding before the body or has an interest in the proceeding, In addition, consistent with the Communications Act of 1934, FCC regulations and orders, the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, or other applicable law, information provided in or submitted with this form or in response to subsequent inquiries may be disclosed to the public.

If you owe a past due debt to the Federal government, the information you provide may also be disclosed to the Department of the Treasury Financial Management Service, other Federal agencies and/or your employer to offset your salary, IRS tax refund or other payments to collect that debt. The FCC may also provide the information to these agencies through the matching of computer records when authorized.

If you do not provide the information we request on the form, the FCC may delay processing of your application or may return your application without action.

The foregoing Notice is required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. No. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. § 3501, et seq.

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 4 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, completing, and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the reporting burden to the Federal Communications Commission, Performance Evaluation and Records Management, Washington, DC 20554.

Please submit this form to: SLD-Form 471 P.O. Box 7026

Lawrence, Kansas 66044-7026

For express delivery services or U.S. Postal Service, Return Receipt Requested, mail this form to:

SLD Forms ATTN: SLD Form 471 3833 Greenway Drive Lawrence, Kansas 66046 (888) 203-8100

FCC Form 471 - October 2010

Close Print Preview

1997 - 2013 © , Universal Service Administrative Company, All Rights Reserved

FCC Form 471

Approval by OMB 3060-0806

Schools and Libraries Universal Service Description of Services Ordered and Certification Form 471

Estimated Average Burden Hours per Response: 4 hours

This form is designed to help schools and libraries to list the eligible services they have ordered and estimate the annual charges for them so that the Fund Administrator can set aside sufficient support to reimburse providers for services.

Please read instructions before beginning this application. (You can also file online at www.usac.org/sl.)

The instructions include information on the deadlines for filling this application.

Applicant's Form Identifier (Create an identifier for your own reference)	Form 471 Application #:	
Little Axe Y17	964528 (To be assigned by administrator)	
Block 1: Billed Entity Address and Identifications		(10 be assigned by administrator)
Name of Billed Entity LITTLE AXE SCHOOL DIST 70		
2 Funding Year 2014		
3a Entity Number 139802		
3b FCC Registration Number 0011906286		
4a Street Address, P.O. Box, or Route Number		
2000 168TH AVE NE		
City NORMAN State OK Zip Code 73026-		
4b Telephone Number (405) 329-7691		
4c Fax Number (405) 579-2929		
5a Type of Application (check only one)		
C Individual School (individual public or non-public school) School District (LEA: public or non-public le q. diocesant local district represent	ating multiple colocals)	
 School District (LEA; public or non-public [e.g. diocesan] local district represer Library (including library system, library outlet/branch or library consort 	•	
C Consortium (intermediate service agencies, states, state networks, special	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	aries)
C Statewide application for (enter 2-letter state code)		
representing (check all that apply)		
☐ All public schools/districts in the state ☐ All non-public schools in the state		
All libraries in the state		
5b Recipient(s) of Services:		
Private Public Charter		
☐ Tribal ☐ Head Start ☐ State Agency		
	Applicant's Form Identifier: L	ittle Axe Y17
Entity Number: 139802 Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber	Applicant's Form Identifier: L Contact Phone Number: (918	
Entity Number: 139802	<u> </u>	
Entity Number: 139802 Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber	<u> </u>	
Entity Number: 139802 Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber Block 1: Billed Entity Address and Identifications (continued) 6a Contact Person's Name	Contact Phone Number: (918	
Entity Number: 139802 Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber Block 1: Billed Entity Address and Identifications (continued) 6a Contact Person's Name Karla Hall or Chris Webber If the Contact Person's Street Address is the same as Item 4 above, check here.	Contact Phone Number: (918	
Entity Number: 139802 Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber Block 1: Billed Entity Address and Identifications (continued) 6a Contact Person's Name Karla Hall or Chris Webber If the Contact Person's Street Address is the same as Item 4 above, check here. If no 6b Street Address, P.O. Box, or Route Number NOTE: USAC will use this address to mail correspondence about this form.	Contact Phone Number: (918	
Entity Number: 139802 Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber Block 1: Billed Entity Address and Identifications (continued) 6a Contact Person's Name Karla Hall or Chris Webber If the Contact Person's Street Address is the same as Item 4 above, check here. If no 6b Street Address, P.O. Box, or Route Number NOTE: USAC will use this address to mail correspondence about this form. PO Box 701713	Contact Phone Number: (918	
Entity Number: 139802 Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber Block 1: Billed Entity Address and Identifications (continued) 6a Contact Person's Name Karla Hall or Chris Webber If the Contact Person's Street Address is the same as Item 4 above, check here. If no 6b Street Address, P.O. Box, or Route Number NOTE: USAC will use this address to mail correspondence about this form. PO Box 701713 City Tulsa State OK Zip Code 74170-1713	Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048
Entity Number: 139802 Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber Block 1: Billed Entity Address and Identifications (continued) 6a Contact Person's Name Karla Hall or Chris Webber If the Contact Person's Street Address is the same as Item 4 above, check here. If no 6b Street Address, P.O. Box, or Route Number NOTE: USAC will use this address to mail correspondence about this form. PO Box 701713 City Tulsa State OK Zip Code 74170-1713 Check the box next to your preferred mode of contact and provide your contact informatic	Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048
Entity Number: 139802 Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber Block 1: Billed Entity Address and Identifications (continued) 6a Contact Person's Name Karla Hall or Chris Webber If the Contact Person's Street Address is the same as Item 4 above, check here. If no 6b Street Address, P.O. Box, or Route Number NOTE: USAC will use this address to mail correspondence about this form. PO Box 701713 City Tulsa State OK Zip Code 74170-1713 Check the box next to your preferred mode of contact and provide your contact informatic	Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048
Entity Number: 139802 Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber Block 1: Billed Entity Address and Identifications (continued) 6a Contact Person's Name Karla Hall or Chris Webber If the Contact Person's Street Address is the same as Item 4 above, check here. If no 6b Street Address, P.O. Box, or Route Number NOTE: USAC will use this address to mail correspondence about this form. PO Box 701713 City Tulsa State OK Zip Code 74170-1713 Check the box next to your preferred mode of contact and provide your contact information 6c Telephone Number (918) 445 - 0048 6d Fax Number (918) 445 - 0049 66 E-Mail Address INFO@CRWCONSULTING.COM	Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048
Entity Number: 139802 Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber Block 1: Billed Entity Address and Identifications (continued) 6a Contact Person's Name Karla Hall or Chris Webber If the Contact Person's Street Address is the same as Item 4 above, check here. If no 6b Street Address, P.O. Box, or Route Number NOTE: USAC will use this address to mail correspondence about this form. PO Box 701713 City Tulsa State OK Zip Code 74170-1713 Check the box next to your preferred mode of contact and provide your contact informatic	Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048
Entity Number: 139802 Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber Block 1: Billed Entity Address and Identifications (continued) 6a Contact Person's Name Karla Hall or Chris Webber If the Contact Person's Street Address is the same as Item 4 above, check here. If no 6b Street Address, P.O. Box, or Route Number NOTE: USAC will use this address to mail correspondence about this form. PO Box 701713 City Tulsa State OK Zip Code 74170-1713 Check the box next to your preferred mode of contact and provide your contact information 6c Telephone Number (918) 445 - 0048 6d Fax Number (918) 445 - 0049 66 E-Mail Address INFO@CRWCONSULTING.COM	t, complete Item 6b.	nd an entry provided.
Entity Number: 139802 Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber Block 1: Billed Entity Address and Identifications (continued) 6a Contact Person's Name Karla Hall or Chris Webber If the Contact Person's Street Address is the same as Item 4 above, check here. If no 6b Street Address, P.O. Box, or Route Number NOTE: USAC will use this address to mail correspondence about this form. PO Box 701713 City Tulsa State OK Zip Code 74170-1713 Check the box next to your preferred mode of contact and provide your contact information 6c Telephone Number (918) 445 - 0048 6d Fax Number (918) 445 - 0049 6e E-Mail Address INFO@CRWCONSULTING.COM Re-enter E-mail Address INFO@CRWCONSULTING.COM 6f Holiday/vacation/summer contact information: please include name of alternate co	t, complete Item 6b. on. One box MUST be checked a	nd an entry provided.
Entity Number: 139802 Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber Block 1: Billed Entity Address and Identifications (continued) 6a Contact Person's Name Karla Hall or Chris Webber If the Contact Person's Street Address is the same as Item 4 above, check here. If no 6b Street Address, P.O. Box, or Route Number NOTE: USAC will use this address to mail correspondence about this form. PO Box 701713 City Tulsa State OK Zip Code 74170-1713 Check the box next to your preferred mode of contact and provide your contact information 6c Telephone Number (918) 445 - 0048 6d Fax Number (918) 445 - 0049 6d Fee-Mail Address INFO@CRWCONSULTING.COM Re-enter E-mail Address INFO@CRWCONSULTING.COM 6f Holiday/vacation/summer contact information: please include name of alternate could be a consultant is assisting you with your application process, please complete Item	t, complete Item 6b. on. One box MUST be checked a	nd an entry provided.
Entity Number: 139802 Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber Block 1: Billed Entity Address and Identifications (continued) 6a Contact Person's Name Karla Hall or Chris Webber If the Contact Person's Street Address is the same as Item 4 above, check here. If no 6b Street Address, P.O. Box, or Route Number NOTE: USAC will use this address to mail correspondence about this form. PO Box 701713 City Tulsa State OK Zip Code 74170-1713 Check the box next to your preferred mode of contact and provide your contact information 6c Telephone Number (918) 445 - 0048 6d Fax Number (918) 445 - 0049 6e E-Mail Address INFO@CRWCONSULTING.COM Re-enter E-mail Address INFO@CRWCONSULTING.COM 6f Holiday/vacation/summer contact information: please include name of alternate contact and provide your contact information: please include name of alternate contact information: please include name of alternate contact and provide your contact information: please include name of alternate contact information: please inclu	t, complete Item 6b. on. One box MUST be checked a	nd an entry provided.
Entity Number: 139802 Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber Block 1: Billed Entity Address and Identifications (continued) 6a Contact Person's Name Karla Hall or Chris Webber If the Contact Person's Street Address is the same as Item 4 above, check here. If no 6b Street Address, P.O. Box, or Route Number NOTE: USAC will use this address to mail correspondence about this form. PO Box 701713 City Tulsa State OK Zip Code 74170-1713 Check the box next to your preferred mode of contact and provide your contact information 6c Telephone Number (918) 445 - 0048 6c Telephone Number (918) 445 - 0048 6d Fax Number (918) 445 - 0049 6d Fee-Mail Address INFO@CRWCONSULTING.COM Re-enter E-mail Address INFO@CRWCONSULTING.COM 6f Holiday/vacation/summer contact information: please include name of alternate contact and provide your contact information: please include name of alternate contact information: please include name of alternate contact and provide your application process, please complete Item 6g Consultant is assisting you with your application process, please complete Item 6g Consultant Name Karla Hall Name of Consultant's Employer CRW Consulting Consultant's Street Address CRW Consulting	t, complete Item 6b. on. One box MUST be checked a	nd an entry provided.
Entity Number: 139802 Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber Block 1: Billed Entity Address and Identifications (continued) 6a Contact Person's Name Karla Hall or Chris Webber If the Contact Person's Street Address is the same as Item 4 above, check here. If no 6b Street Address, P.O. Box, or Route Number NOTE: USAC will use this address to mail correspondence about this form. PO Box 701713 City Tulsa State OK Zip Code 74170-1713 Check the box next to your preferred mode of contact and provide your contact information 6c Telephone Number (918) 445 - 0048 6d Fax Number (918) 445 - 0049 6e E-Mail Address INFO@CRWCONSULTING.COM Re-enter E-mail Address INFO@CRWCONSULTING.COM 6f Holiday/vacation/summer contact information: please include name of alternate contact information in the co	t, complete Item 6b. on. One box MUST be checked a	nd an entry provided.
Entity Number: 139802 Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber Block 1: Billed Entity Address and Identifications (continued) 6a Contact Person's Name Karla Hall or Chris Webber If the Contact Person's Street Address is the same as Item 4 above, check here. If no 6b Street Address, P.O. Box, or Route Number NOTE: USAC will use this address to mail correspondence about this form. PO Box 701713 City Tulsa State OK Zip Code 74170-1713 Check the box next to your preferred mode of contact and provide your contact information 6c Telephone Number (918) 445 - 0048 6d Fax Number (918) 445 - 0048 6d Fax Number (918) 445 - 0049 6e E-Mail Address INFO@CRWCONSULTING.COM Re-enter E-mail Address INFO@CRWCONSULTING.COM 6f Holiday/vacation/summer contact information: please include name of alternate contact and provide your contact information: please include name of alternate contact information: please include name of alternate contact and provide your application process, please complete Item 6g Consultant Name Karla Hall Name of Consultant's Employer CRW Consulting Consultant's Street Address CRW Consulting Po Box 701713 City Tulsa State OK Zip Code 74170 Consultant's Telephone Number (918) 445-0048 Ext.	t, complete Item 6b. on. One box MUST be checked a	nd an entry provided.
Entity Number: 139802 Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber Block 1: Billed Entity Address and Identifications (continued) 6a Contact Person's Name Karla Hall or Chris Webber If the Contact Person's Street Address is the same as Item 4 above, check here. If no 6b Street Address, P.O. Box, or Route Number NOTE: USAC will use this address to mail correspondence about this form. PO Box 701713 City Tulsa State OK Zip Code 74170-1713 Check the box next to your preferred mode of contact and provide your contact information 6c Telephone Number (918) 445 - 0048 6d Fax Number (918) 445 - 0049 6e E-Mail Address INFO@CRWCONSULTING.COM Re-enter E-mail Address INFO@CRWCONSULTING.COM 6f Holiday/vacation/summer contact information: please include name of alternate contact information in the co	t, complete Item 6b. on. One box MUST be checked a	nd an entry provided.
Entity Number: 139802 Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber Block 1: Billed Entity Address and Identifications (continued) 6a Contact Person's Name Karla Hall or Chris Webber If the Contact Person's Street Address is the same as Item 4 above, check here. If no 6b Street Address, P.O. Box, or Route Number NOTE: USAC will use this address to mail correspondence about this form. PO Box 701713 City Tulsa State OK Zip Code 74170-1713 Check the box next to your preferred mode of contact and provide your contact information 6c Telephone Number (918) 445 - 0048 6d Fax Number (918) 445 - 0049 6d Fee-Mail Address INFO@CRWCONSULTING.COM Re-enter E-mail Address INFO@CRWCONSULTING.COM 6f Holiday/vacation/summer contact information: please include name of alternate contact information in the contact information information information in the contact information information information info@crwconsulting Po Box 701713 City Tulsa State OK Zip Code 74170 Consultant's Street Address CRW Consulting Consultant's Telephone Number (918) 445-0048 Consultant's E-mail Address info@crwconsulting.com info@crwconsulting.com info@crwconsulting.com info@crwconsulting.com info@crwconsulting.com	t, complete Item 6b. on. One box MUST be checked a	nd an entry provided.
Entity Number: 139802 Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber Block 1: Billed Entity Address and Identifications (continued) 6a Contact Person's Name Karla Hall or Chris Webber If the Contact Person's Street Address is the same as Item 4 above, check here. If no 6b Street Address, P.O. Box, or Route Number NOTE: USAC will use this address to mail correspondence about this form. PO Box 701713 City Tulsa State OK Zip Code 74170-1713 Check the box next to your preferred mode of contact and provide your contact information 6c Telephone Number (918) 445 - 0048 6d Fax Number (918) 445 - 0049 6e E-Mail Address INFO@CRWCONSULTING.COM Re-enter E-mail Address INFO@CRWCONSULTING.COM 6f Holiday/vacation/summer contact information: please include name of alternate consultant is assisting you with your application process, please complete Item 6g Consultant Name Karla Hall Name of Consultant's Employer CRW Consulting Consultant's Street Address CRW Consulting Consultant's Street Address CRW Consulting Consultant's Telephone Number (918) 445-0048 Ext. Consultant's Fax Number (918) 445-0049	t, complete Item 6b. on. One box MUST be checked a	nd an entry provided.

Entity Number: 139802 Applicant's Form Identifier: Little Axe Y17 Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048 Block 4: Discount Calculation Worksheet Worksheet - 1665650 Page 1 of 1 The Block 4 worksheet is used to calculate your discount for services. You will complete one or more worksheets depending on the type of application you are filing. If you file more than one worksheet, please number the completed worksheets to assure that they are all processed correctly. Please refer to the instructions for information specific to the Type of Application you indicated in Block 1, Item 5. Check here if this worksheet contains all eligible entities in the school district or library system. 9a List entities and calculate discount(s): (For Administrator's Use) School District or Library System Name: School District or Library System Entity Number: 10 11 12 14 15 8 9 Insert appropriate codes(s): P= pre-K H = Head Start, A Adult Education, J Weighted Production for Calculating Shared Discount Number of Students ntity Number AND NCE Admin ntity Number of Scho Discount o Total Numb Alt Dis Shared Name of Eligible Entity Code (for Schools) or SCS Code (for Libraries) ntity o tructi of Students Eligible for NSLP for NSLP (Col. 5 Discount enile Justicem = ESA, D = Dormatory Outlet/Branch is Locat or R Entity Col. 4) on (Col. 4 x Col. 7) Schools with ALL ENTITIES SCHOOLS AND LIBRARIES Library Outlet/Branch Schools Consortia 83610 LITTLE AXE HIGH SCHOOL R 334 194 58.084% 80 Ν Ν Ν 26720 40 17880 02206 83609 LITTLE AXE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL R 629 481 76.471% 90 Ν Ν Ν 56610 40 17880 00864 LITTLE AXE MIDDLE SCHOOL 83611 R 298 216 72.483% 80 Ν Ν Ν 23840 40 17880 00103 TECH BUILDING R 0.000% Ν Ν 16059359 0 0 84 Ν 46.429% VO-TECH BUILDING 16059360 R 84 39 70 N N Ν 5880 MAINTENANCE FACILITY 16059376 R 0 0 0.000% 84 Ν Ν Ν 0 TRANSPORTATION 16059367 R Ν ٥ 0 n 0.000% 84 Ν Ν BUILDING 16059368 R 70.588% 17 12 80 Ν N N 1360 FIELDHOUSE CENTRAL OFFICE 16059370 R 0.000% 84 Ν N Ν DAY CARE 16059371 R 0 0.000% 84 Ν Ν N 0 0 16059364 R CAFETERIA 0 0 0.000% 84 Ν Ν Ν 0 9b Shared Services SCHOOL DISTRICTS: (Including groups of schools within school districts.) Calculate the totals of Columns 4 and 11. Divide the total of 1362 114410 84% Column 11 by the total of Column 4. Enter the result in Column 15. LIBRARY SYSTEMS: Calculate the total of Column 7. Divide this total by the number of outlets/branches. Enter the result in Column CONSORTIA: Calculate the total of Column 14. Divide this total by the number of member entities. Enter the result in Column 15.

			Applicant's Form Identifier: Little Axe Y17			
Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber Co			Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048			
Block 5: Discount Funding Request(s) Instructions: Use one Block 5 page for EACH service (Funding Request Number) for which discounts. Make as many copies of this page as needed, and number the completed pages to are all processed correctly.						
10 If this is a duplicate Funding Request (e.g., of an FRN that is etc.), check this box and enter the original FRN in the space		nder appeal,				
11 Category of Service (only ONE category should be checked)		23	Calculations			
PRIORITY 1 PRIORITY 2			A. Monthly charges (total amount per month for service)			
III—						
☐ Internet Access ☐ Basic Maintenance of Internal Co			\$4,401.00			
	onnections		B. How much of the amount in A is ineligible?			
12 Form 470 Application Number			\$0.00			
532790000936876		Recurring				
13 SPIN – Service Provider Identification Number		Charges				
143033971			\$4,401.00			
14 Service Provider Name			D. Number of months service provided in funding year			
			12			
			E. Annual pre-discount amount for eligible recurring charges	(C x D)		
Jive Communications, Inc.			\$52,812.00			
15a	ed tariffed or month-		F. Annual non-recurring charges			
to-month services. 15b Contract Number			#c0.00			
15b Contract Number			\$60.00 G. How much of the amount in F is ineligible?			
N/A			G. How much of the amount in 1 is mengione:			
15c ☐ Check this box if this Funding Request is covered under a contract negotiated by a third party, the terms and conditions of which available to an eligible entity that purchases directly from the service p 15d ▼ Check this box if this Funding Request is a continuation of	are then made rovider).	Non- Recurring Charges	\$0.00			
previous funding year based on a multi-year contract. If so, provide that 2507356			H. Annual eligible pre-discount amount for non-recurring chaminus G)	rges (F		
16a Billing Account Number (e.g., billed telephone number)						
			\$60.00			
16b Check this box if there are multiple Billing Account Number	rs and attach a		I. Total funding year pre-discount amount (E + H)			
complete list of those numbers to this page.			\$52,872.00			
17 Allowable Vendor Selection/Contract Date (mm/dd/yyyy)		Total Charges	J. Discount from Block 4 Worksheet 84.00			
(based on Form 470 filing)		Charges	K. Funding Commitment Request (I x J)			
11/11/2011			\$44,412.48			
18 Contract Award Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 01/04/2012						
19 Service Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 07/01/2014						
20a Service End Date (mm/dd/yyyy)						
Contract Expiration Date						
20b (mm/dd/yyyy)						
06/30/2015						
21 Description of This Service: NOTE: All Item 21 Attachments You MUST attach a description of the service, including a breakdowr must include any additional account or telephone numbers if the bille Number, and note number in space provided.	n of components, costs	s, manufact	urer name, make and model number. You			
	a. If the service is sit	te-specific (provided to one site			
22 Entity/Entities Passiving This Samiles	and not shared by of the entity from Block	thers), list th	ne Entity Number of			
22 Entity/Entities Receiving This Service:	b. If the service is sh	nared by all	entities on a Block 4			
worksheet, list the wo		orksheet number (e.g., 1): 1665650				

ımbeı	r: 139802	Applicant	Applicant's Form Identifier: Little Axe Y17		
ock 5 (Continued): 24 Description of Broadband and other Connectifunding request		Contact F	Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048 vity Services Ordered for Schools and Libraries from this		
		nd other Connectivity Services			
		funding request only if requesting Telecomm ner types of connectivity to school and/or libra	unications Services or Internet Access for the ry facilities.		
☑			t that do <u>not</u> provide broadband or connectivity. For instance, check the box if this nance, or requests for services like e-mail or phone service.		
а	for the lines included in this funding required form provides two additional lines per binumber the completed pages to assure	uest. If there are multiple download speeds for roadband connection category. If you need ac that they are all processed correctly. A respo	ase list the number of lines and average download speed the lines within one type of broadband connection, this iditional space, please makes copies of this page and nse to this Item is not a substitute for a complete response Item 21. Please ask your service provider if you need		
	Type of Connection	Number of lines included in this FRN	Download speed per line in Mbps		
b	If the Internet service is available to students or patrons in more than just a single location or office, please indicate:				
	If the access is provided by wired connections, approximately what percentage of the school classroom or public library rooms included in the Block 4 worksheet for this FRN will have access to wired drops?%				
	If the access is provided by Wi-FI connections, approximately what percentage of the school classroom or public library rooms included in the Block 4 worksheet for this FRN will have access to a Wi-Fi signal?%				
c		s, do the connections in this FRN include the	ast mile connection to the school or library? ☐ Yes ☐ No		

			Applicant's Form Identifier: Little Axe Y17			
Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber	Con	Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048				
Block 5: Discount Funding Request(s) Instructions: Use one Block 5 page for EACH service (Funding Request Number) for which discounts. Make as many copies of this page as needed, and number the completed pages to are all processed correctly.						
10 If this is a duplicate Funding Request (e.g., of an FRN that is not yet approetc.), check this box and enter the original FRN in the space provided:	•					
11 Category of Service (only ONE category should be checked)		23	23 Calculations			
PRIORITY 1 PRIORITY 2 PRIORITY 2 Internal Connections Other than Basic Mainter	ance		A. Monthly charges (total amount per month for service)			
✓ Internet Access ☐ Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections			\$6,920.00			
12 Form 470 Application Number			How much of the amount in A is ineligible? \$0.00			
527680001050031		Recurring	C. Eligible monthly pre-discount amount (A minus B)			
13 SPIN – Service Provider Identification Number		Charges	\$6,920.00			
143035519			D. Number of months service provided in funding year			
14 Service Provider Name			12			
			E. Annual pre-discount amount for eligible recurring charges (C x D)			
Meet Point Networks LLC						
			\$83,040.00			
to-month services.	ionin-		F. Annual non-recurring charges			
15b Contract Number			\$0.00			
N/A			G. How much of the amount in F is ineligible?			
15c ☐ Check this box if this Funding Request is covered under a master contract (a contract negotiated by a third party, the terms and conditions of which are then made available to an eligible entity that purchases directly from the service provider). 15d		Non- Recurring Charges	\$0.00 H. Annual eligible pre-discount amount for non-recurring charges (F			
previous funding year based on a multi-year contract. If so, provide that FRN here: 2507363			minus G)			
16a Billing Account Number (e.g., billed telephone number)			\$0.00			
16b Check this box if there are multiple Billing Account Numbers and attach a complete list of those numbers to this page.	· 		I. Total funding year pre-discount amount (E + H)			
17 Allowable Vendor Selection/Contract Date (mm/dd/yyyy)		Total	\$83,040.00			
(based on Form 470 filing)		Charges	J. Discount from Block 4 Worksheet 84.00			
11/12/2012			K. Funding Commitment Request (I x J) \$69,753.60			
18 Contract Award Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 02/09/2013						
19 Service Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 07/01/2014						
20a Service End Date (mm/dd/yyyy)						
Contract Expiration Date 20b (mm/dd/yyyy) 06/30/2018						
21 Description of This Service: NOTE: All Item 21 Attachments must be filed You MUST attach a description of the service, including a breakdown of componen must include any additional account or telephone numbers if the billed account has Number, and note number in space provided.	ts, costs,	manufact	urer name, make and model number. You			
	ed by oth	ers), list th	provided to one site ne Entity Number of this service:			
b. If the service is sha worksheet, list the wor						

Number: 139802		Applicant's Form Identifier: Little Axe Y17					
ock 5 (Continued): 24 Description of Broadband and other Connectivity		Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048	Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048				
		ctivity Services Ordered for Schools and Libraries from this					
	7 .		esting Telecommunications Services or Internet Access for the chool and/or library facilities.				
	Check this box if this request is for services or equipment that funding request is for internal connections, basic maintenance		provide broadband or connectivity. For instance, check the box if this uests for services like e-mail or phone service.				
а	Which technology(ies) and speed(s) are being provided in this for the lines included in this funding request. If there are multi form provides two additional lines per broadband connection	ple download speeds for the lines within one type of bro	padband connection, this				
	number the completed pages to assure that they are all proce to Item 21 but should be consistent with the description of ser assistance.	essed correctly. A response to this Item is not a substitu	ite for a complete response				
	number the completed pages to assure that they are all proce to Item 21 but should be consistent with the description of ser	essed correctly. A response to this Item is not a substitu	ite for a complete response				
	number the completed pages to assure that they are all proce to Item 21 but should be consistent with the description of ser assistance.	essed correctly. A response to this Item is not a substituvices in the response to Item 21. Please ask your servi Number of lines	te for a complete response ce provider if you need Download speed per				
b	number the completed pages to assure that they are all proce to Item 21 but should be consistent with the description of ser assistance. Type of Connection Fiber optic/OC-x If the Internet service is available to students or patrons in more	Number of lines included in this FRN 1 ore than just a single location or office, please indicate:	Download speed per line in Mbps				
b	number the completed pages to assure that they are all proce to Item 21 but should be consistent with the description of ser assistance. Type of Connection Fiber optic/OC-x If the Internet service is available to students or patrons in more	Response to this Item is not a substitutivices in the response to Item 21. Please ask your servious in the response to Item 21. Please ask your servious in the response to Item 21. Please ask your servious in the response to Item 21. Please ask your servious in the response to Item 21. Please included in this FRN 1 The response to this Item is not a substitution in the response to Item 21. Please ask your services in the response to Item 21. Please a	Download speed per line in Mbps				

Entity Number: 139802			Applicant's Form Identifier: Little Axe Y17			
Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber	Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048					
Block 5: Discount Funding Request(s) Instructions: Use one Block 5 page for EACH service (Funding Request Number) for which discounts. Make as many copies of this page as needed, and number the completed pages to are all processed correctly.						
10 If this is a duplicate Funding Request (e.g., of an FRN that is not yet approved, ur etc.), check this box and enter the original FRN in the space provided:			nder appeal,			
11 Category of Service (only ONE category should be checked)		23	Calculations			
	elecommunications Service Internal Connections Other than Basic Maintenance		A. Monthly charges (total amount per month for service) \$0.00	vice)		
12 Form 470 Application Number			B. How much of the amount in A is ineligible?			
			\$0.00			
568810001045195		Recurring	C. Eligible monthly pre-discount amount (A minus E	3)		
13 SPIN – Service Provider Identification Number		Charges	\$0.00			
143031119			D. Number of months service provided in funding y	ear		
14 Service Provider Name			21 rambor of monard out too provided in ramaing y	5 0.		
			12			
			E. Annual pre-discount amount for eligible recurring	charges (C x D)		
Intrafinity Inc			\$0.00			
15a Check this box if this Funding Request is for non-contracte to-month services.	ed tariffed or month-		F. Annual non-recurring charges			
15b Contract Number			\$4,171.00			
			G. How much of the amount in F is ineligible?			
N/A			CT TO THE OF THE CHIEF THE THE STATE OF THE			
15c ☐ Check this box if this Funding Request is covered under a contract negotiated by a third party, the terms and conditions of which a available to an eligible entity that purchases directly from the service pr 15d ☑ Check this box if this Funding Request is a continuation or previous funding year based on a multi-year contract. If so, provide that	are then made ovider). f an FRN from a	Non- Recurring Charges	\$0.00 H. Annual eligible pre-discount amount for non-rect	urring charges (F		
2507324	FRIN Here.		ninus G)	iring charges (i		
16a Billing Account Number (e.g., billed telephone number)						
			\$4,171.00			
16b ☐ Check this box if there are multiple Billing Account Number complete list of those numbers to this page.	s and attach a		I. Total funding year pre-discount amount (E + H)			
17 Allowable Vendor Selection/Contract Date (mm/dd/yyyy)		Total	\$4,171.00			
(based on Form 470 filing)		Charges	J. Discount from Block 4 Worksheet 84.00			
10/11/2012			K. Funding Commitment Request (I x J) \$3,503.64			
18 Contract Award Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 03/09/2013			·			
19 Service Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 07/01/2014						
20a Service End Date (mm/dd/yyyy)						
Contract Expiration Date						
20b (mm/dd/yyyy) 06/30/2015						
		<u> </u>				
21 Description of This Service: NOTE: All Item 21 Attachments You MUST attach a description of the service, including a breakdown must include any additional account or telephone numbers if the billet	of components, costs	s, manufact	er name, make and model number. You			
Number, and note number in space provided.						
22 Entity/Entities Receiving This Service:	and not shared by of	site-specific (provided to one site others), list the Entity Number of the service:				
b. If the service is s			hared by all entities on a Block 4 vorksheet number (e.g., 1): 1665650			

Number: 139802		Applican	Applicant's Form Identifier: Little Axe Y17		
act Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber		Contact I	Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048		
ock 5 24	(Continued): Description of Broadband and funding request	other Connectivity Services	Ordered for Schools and Libraries from this		
	Complete the information below for this fun purpose of <u>providing broadband and other</u>		sting Telecommunications Services or Internet Access for the hool and/or library facilities.		
V			ot provide broadband or connectivity. For instance, check the box if this equests for services like e-mail or phone service.		
Which technology(ies) and speed(s) are being provided in this I for the lines included in this funding request. If there are multiple form provides two additional lines per broadband connection can umber the completed pages to assure that they are all process to Item 21 but should be consistent with the description of serving assistance.		t. If there are multiple download speeds for dband connection category. If you need a t they are all processed correctly. A respo	or the lines within one type of broadband connection, this dditional space, please makes copies of this page and nse to this Item is not a substitute for a complete response		
	Type of Connection	Number of lines included in this FRN	Download speed per line in Mbps		
b	included in the Block 4 worksheet	connections, approximately what percen for this FRN will have access to wired dr	age of the school classroom or public library rooms		
	If the access is provided by Wi-FI included in the Block 4 worksheet	age of the school classroom or public library rooms signal?%			
С.	_		last mile connection to the school or library? \square Yes \square No		

· ·			Applicant's Form Identifier: Little Axe Y17			
Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber	Contac	Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048				
Block 5: Discount Funding Request(s)	ch vou c	re rec:	Block 5, page 4 of 6			
Instructions: Use one Block 5 page for EACH service (Funding Request Number) for which discounts. Make as many copies of this page as needed, and number the completed pages to						
are all processed correctly.			(to be assigned by administrator)			
10 ☐ If this is a duplicate Funding Request (e.g., of an FRN that is not yet approved, etc.), check this box and enter the original FRN in the space provided:	under a	ider appeal,				
11 Category of Service (only ONE category should be checked)		23	Calculations			
PRIORITY 1 PRIORITY 2	¬ III		A. Monthly charges (total amount per month for service)			
Telecommunications Service Internal Connections Other than Basic Maintenance	_ 					
☐ Internet Access ☐ Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	╡║		\$405.63			
<u> </u>	41		B. How much of the amount in A is ineligible?			
12 Form 470 Application Number			\$0.00			
570670001158661	Red	curring	C. Eligible monthly pre-discount amount (A minus B)			
13 SPIN – Service Provider Identification Number		arges	, , ,			
143025240	Ш		\$405.63			
14 Service Provider Name	-1		D. Number of months service provided in funding year			
	Ш		12			
		ſ	E. Annual pre-discount amount for eligible recurring charges (C x D)			
AT&T Mobility			\$4,867.56			
15a Check this box if this Funding Request is for non-contracted tariffed or month	ղ-	_	F. Annual non-recurring charges			
to-month services.			· ·			
15b Contract Number	Ш	ŀ	\$0.00			
MTM			G. How much of the amount in F is ineligible?			
15c Check this box if this Funding Request is covered under a master contract (a	Nor	n-				
contract negotiated by a third party, the terms and conditions of which are then made	Red	curring	\$0.00			
available to an eligible entity that purchases directly from the service provider). 15d	Cha	arges				
previous funding year based on a multi-year contract. If so, provide that FRN here:		Ī	H. Annual eligible pre-discount amount for non-recurring charges (F			
16a Billing Account Number (e.g., billed telephone number)	711		minus G)			
16b Check this box if there are multiple Billing Account Numbers and attach a			\$0.00			
complete list of those numbers to this page.			I. Total funding year pre-discount amount (E + H)			
17 Allowable Vendor Selection/Contract Date (mm/dd/yyyy)		. [\$4,867.56			
(based on Form 470 filing)	Tot Cha	arges	J. Discount from Block 4 Worksheet 84.00			
11/29/2013		unguu	K. Funding Commitment Request (I x J)			
18 Contract Award Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	٦L		\$4,088.75			
19 Service Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 07/01/2014	1					
20a Service End Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	1					
06/30/2015	-1					
Contract Expiration Date 20b (mm/dd/yyyy)						
21 Description of This Service: NOTE: All Item 21 Attachments must be filed bef You MUST attach a description of the service, including a breakdown of components, or						
must include any additional account or telephone numbers if the billed account has mult						
Number, and note number in space provided.			·			
			rovided to one site			
II			e Entity Number of			
== =================================			entities on a Block 4			
worksheet, list the						

Number: 139802 ct Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber ock 5 (Continued): 24 Description of Broadband and other Connectivit funding request		Applicant's Form Identifier: Little Axe Y17 Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048				
			Complete the information below for this funding request only if repurpose of providing broadband and other types of connectivity		esting Telecommunications Services or Internet Access for the chool and/or library facilities.	
	Check this box if this request is for services or equipment that d funding request is for internal connections, basic maintenance,		t provide broadband or connectivity. For instance, check the box if this quests for services like e-mail or phone service.			
		Funding Deguest? Please list the number of lines on	d according description depend			
а	Which technology(ies) and speed(s) are being provided in this for the lines included in this funding request. If there are multiple form provides two additional lines per broadband connection canumber the completed pages to assure that they are all process to Item 21 but should be consistent with the description of service assistance.	e download speeds for the lines within one type of br ategory. If you need additional space, please makes of sed correctly. A response to this Item is not a substitu	coadband connection, this copies of this page and ute for a complete response			
а	for the lines included in this funding request. If there are multiple form provides two additional lines per broadband connection can number the completed pages to assure that they are all process to Item 21 but should be consistent with the description of servi-	e download speeds for the lines within one type of br ategory. If you need additional space, please makes of sed correctly. A response to this Item is not a substitu	coadband connection, this copies of this page and ute for a complete response			
a	for the lines included in this funding request. If there are multiple form provides two additional lines per broadband connection can number the completed pages to assure that they are all process to Item 21 but should be consistent with the description of serving assistance.	e download speeds for the lines within one type of brategory. If you need additional space, please makes c sed correctly. A response to this Item is not a substitt ces in the response to Item 21. Please ask your serv	oadband connection, this copies of this page and ute for a complete response ice provider if you need Download speed per			
b	for the lines included in this funding request. If there are multiple form provides two additional lines per broadband connection ca number the completed pages to assure that they are all process to Item 21 but should be consistent with the description of services assistance. Type of Connection	e download speeds for the lines within one type of brategory. If you need additional space, please makes c sed correctly. A response to this Item is not a substituces in the response to Item 21. Please ask your serv Number of lines included in this FRN 7	coadband connection, this copies of this page and ute for a complete response ice provider if you need Download speed per line in Mbps 1			

			Applicant's Form Identifier: Little Axe Y17			
Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber			ontact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048			
Block 5: Discount Funding Request(s) Instructions: Use one Block 5 page for EACH service (Funding Request Number) for which discounts. Make as many copies of this page as needed, and number the completed pages to are all processed correctly.						
10 If this is a duplicate Funding Request (e.g., of an FRN that is not yet approved, ur etc.), check this box and enter the original FRN in the space provided:						
11 Category of Service (only ONE category should be checked)			Calculations			
PRIORITY 1 PRIORITY 2 Telecommunications Service Internal Connections Other than	Basic Maintenance		A. Monthly charges (total amount per month for service)			
☐ Internet Access ☐ Basic Maintenance of Internal C			\$1,059.76 B. How much of the amount in A is ineligible?			
12 Form 470 Application Number			\$0.00			
570670001158661		Recurring				
13 SPIN – Service Provider Identification Number		Charges	\$1,059.76			
143004662 14 Service Provider Name			D. Number of months service provided in funding year			
14 Colvido Frovido Name			12			
			E. Annual pre-discount amount for eligible recurring charges (C x D)			
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company 15a	and tariffed or month		\$12,717.12			
to-month services.	ed tailled of month-		F. Annual non-recurring charges			
15b Contract Number			\$0.00 G. How much of the amount in F is ineligible?			
N/A			G. Flow much of the amount in Fils mengible:			
15c ☐ Check this box if this Funding Request is covered under a contract negotiated by a third party, the terms and conditions of which available to an eligible entity that purchases directly from the service p	are then made provider). of an FRN from a	Non- Recurring Charges				
previous funding year based on a multi-year contract. If so, provide that 16a Billing Account Number (e.g., billed telephone number)	at FRN here:		H. Annual eligible pre-discount amount for non-recurring charges (F minus G)			
40b Cohadatii haa fataa aa aadkida Diibaa Aaaaa Ahaaba			\$0.00			
16b Check this box if there are multiple Billing Account Numbe complete list of those numbers to this page.	ers and attach a		I. Total funding year pre-discount amount (E + H)			
17 Allowable Vendor Selection/Contract Date (mm/dd/yyyy) (based on Form 470 filing)		Total	\$12,717.12 J. Discount from Block 4 Worksheet 84.00			
11/29/2013		Charges	K. Funding Commitment Request (I x J)			
18 Contract Award Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 01/13/2014			\$10,682.38			
19 Service Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 07/01/2014						
20a Service End Date (mm/dd/yyyy)						
Contract Expiration Date 20b (mm/dd/yyyy) 06/30/2015						
21 Description of This Service: NOTE: All Item 21 Attachments You MUST attach a description of the service, including a breakdown must include any additional account or telephone numbers if the bille Number, and note number in space provided.	n of components, costs	s, manufacti	turer name, make and model number. You			
22 Entity/Entities Receiving This Service:	a. If the service is sit and not shared by ot the entity from Block	thers), list the 4 receiving	he Entity Number of g this service:			
b. If the service is s worksheet, list the v			entities on a Block 4 umber (e.g., 1): 1665650			

Number: 139802 ct Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber		Applican	Applicant's Form Identifier: Little Axe Y17 Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048		
		Contact I			
ock 5 24	(Continued): Description of Broadband and funding request	other Connectivity Services	Ordered for Schools and Libraries from this		
	Complete the information below for this fun purpose of <u>providing broadband and other</u>		nunications Services or Internet Access for the ry facilities.		
V	Check this box if this request is for services or equipment that do <u>not</u> provide broadband or connectivity. For instance, check the box if this funding request is for internal connections, basic maintenance, or requests for services like e-mail or phone service.				
а	Which technology(ies) and speed(s) are being provided in this Funding Request? Please list the number of lines and average download speed for the lines included in this funding request. If there are multiple download speeds for the lines within one type of broadband connection, this form provides two additional lines per broadband connection category. If you need additional space, please makes copies of this page and number the completed pages to assure that they are all processed correctly. A response to this Item is not a substitute for a complete response to Item 21 but should be consistent with the description of services in the response to Item 21. Please ask your service provider if you need assistance.				
	Type of Connection	Number of lines included in this FRN	Download speed per line in Mbps		
b	If the Internet service is available to students or patrons in more than just a single location or office, please indicate:				
	2. If the access is provided by Wi-FI connections, approximately what percentage of the school classroom or public library rooms included in the Block 4 worksheet for this FRN will have access to a Wi-Fi signal?%				
С.	_		last mile connection to the school or library? ☐ Yes ☐ No		

	pplicant's Form Identifier: Little Axe Y17					
	ontact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048					
Block 5: Discount Funding Request(s) Instructions: Use one Block 5 page for EACH service (Funding Request Number) for which discounts. Make as many copies of this page as needed, and number the completed pages are all processed correctly.						
10 If this is a duplicate Funding Request (e.g., of an FRN that is not yet approved, under appeal, etc.), check this box and enter the original FRN in the space provided:						
11 Category of Service (only ONE category should be checked)	23 Calculations					
PRIORITY 1 PRIORITY 2 Internal Connections Other than Basic Maintenance	A. Monthly charges (total amount per month for service)					
☐ Internet Access ☐ Basic Maintenance of Internal Connections	\$30.94					
12 Form 470 Application Number	B. How much of the amount in A is ineligible?					
570670001158661	\$0.00 Recurring C. Eligible monthly pre-discount amount (A minus B)					
13 SPIN – Service Provider Identification Number	Charges \$30.94					
143008823	Number of months service provided in funding year					
14 Service Provider Name	12					
	E. Annual pre-discount amount for eligible recurring charges (C x D)					
SBC Long Distance, LLC.						
15a Check this box if this Funding Request is for non-contracted tariffed or month-	\$371.28					
to-month services.	F. Annual non-recurring charges					
15b Contract Number	\$0.00					
мтм	G. How much of the amount in F is ineligible?					
15c ☐ Check this box if this Funding Request is covered under a master contract (a contract negotiated by a third party, the terms and conditions of which are then made available to an eligible entity that purchases directly from the service provider). 15d ☐ Check this box if this Funding Request is a continuation of an FRN from a	Non- Recurring Charges					
previous funding year based on a multi-year contract. If so, provide that FRN here: 16a Billing Account Number (e.g., billed telephone number)	H. Annual eligible pre-discount amount for non-recurring charges (F minus G)					
	\$0.00					
16b	I. Total funding year pre-discount amount (E + H)					
17 Allowable Vendor Selection/Contract Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	\$371.28					
(based on Form 470 filing)	Total L Discount from Block 4 Workshoot 94 00					
11/29/2013	Charges					
18 Contract Award Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	K. Funding Commitment Request (I x J) \$311.88					
19 Service Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 07/01/2014	1					
20a Service End Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 06/30/2015	1					
Contract Expiration Date 20b (mm/dd/yyyy)						
21 Description of This Service: NOTE: All Item 21 Attachments must be filed before the close of the filing window. Attachment You MUST attach a description of the service, including a breakdown of components, costs, manufacturer name, make and model number. You must include any additional account or telephone numbers if the billed account has multiple numbers. Label the description with an Attachment Number, and note number in space provided.						
and not shared by the entity/Entities Receiving This Service:	site-specific (provided to one site others), list the Entity Number of k4 receiving this service:					
b. If the service is shared by all entities on a Block 4 worksheet, list the worksheet number (e.g., 1): 1665650						

Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048				
Contact I none Number: (510) 445-0040				
tivity Services Ordered for Schools and Libraries from this				
Complete the information below for this funding request only if requesting Telecommunications Services or Internet Access for the purpose of <u>providing broadband and other types of connectivity</u> to school and/or library facilities.				
Check this box if this request is for services or equipment that do <u>not</u> provide broadband or connectivity. For instance, check the box if this funding request is for internal connections, basic maintenance, or requests for services like e-mail or phone service.				
Which technology(ies) and speed(s) are being provided in this Funding Request? Please list the number of lines and average download speed for the lines included in this funding request. If there are multiple download speeds for the lines within one type of broadband connection, this form provides two additional lines per broadband connection category. If you need additional space, please makes copies of this page and number the completed pages to assure that they are all processed correctly. A response to this Item is not a substitute for a complete response to Item 21 but should be consistent with the description of services in the response to Item 21. Please ask your service provider if you need assistance.				
nes Download speed per his FRN line in Mbps				
If the Internet service is available to students or patrons in more than just a single location or office, please indicate: 1. If the access is provided by wired connections, approximately what percentage of the school classroom or public library rooms				
included in the Block 4 worksheet for this FRN will have access to wired drops?%				
If the access is provided by Wi-FI connections, approximately what percentage of the school classroom or public library rooms included in the Block 4 worksheet for this FRN will have access to a Wi-Fi signal?%				
e e ti lo				

Entity Number: 139802	Applicant's Form Identifier: Little Axe Y17				
-	Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048				
Black C. Codiffications and Cinneture					
Block 6: Certifications and Signature					
25 🔽 I certify that the entities listed in Block 4 of this application are eligible for support	because they are: (Check one or both.)				
a schools under the statutory definitions of elementary and secondary schools found in the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001, 20 U.S.C. §§ 7801(18) and (38), that do not operate as for-profit businesses and do not have endowments exceeding \$50 million; and/or					
b ☐ libraries or library consortia eligible for assistance from a State library administrative agency under the Library Services and Technology Act of 1996 that do not operate as for-profit businesses and whose budgets are completely separate from any schools, including, but not limited to, elementary, secondary schools, colleges, or universities.					
26 ✓ I certify that the entity I represent or the entities listed on this application have se resources, including computers, training, software, internal connections, maintenar purchased effectively. I recognize that some of the aforementioned resources are the entities listed on this application have secured access to all of the resources to which access has been secured in the current funding year. I certify that the Billet and services to the service provider(s).	ance, and electrical capacity, necessary to use the services not eligible for support. I certify that the entities I represent or o pay the discounted charges for eligible services from funds to				
Total funding year pre-discount amount on this Form 471 (Add the entries from Items 23I on all Block 5 Discount Funding Requests.)	158038.96				
b Total funding commitment request amount on this Form 471 (Add the entries from Items 23K on all Block 5 Discount Funding Requests.)	132752.73				
Total applicant non-discount share (Subtract Item 26b from Item 26a.)	25286.23				
d Total budgeted amount allocated to resources not eligible for E-rate support	200000				
Total amount necessary for the applicant to pay the non-discount share of the services requested on this application AND to secure access to the resources necessary to make effective use of the discounts. (Add Items 26c and 26d.)	225286.23				
f Check this box if you are receiving any of the funds in Item 26e directly from a service provider listed on any of the Forms 471 filed by this Billed Entity for this funding year, or if a service provider listed on any of the Forms 471 filed by this Billed Entity for this funding year assisted you in locating funds in Item 26e.					
27 C I certify that, if required by Commission rules, all of the individual schools and libraries receiving services under this form are covered by technology plans that do or will cover all 12 months of the funding year, and that have been or will be approved by a state or other authorized body or an SLD-certified technology plan approver prior to the commencement of service.					
Or 🗹 I certify that no technology plan is required by Commission rules.					
28 I certify that (if applicable) I posted my Form 470 and (if applicable) made any related RFP available for at least 28 days before considering all bids received and selecting a service provider. I certify that all bids submitted were carefully considered and the most cost-effective service offering was selected, with price being the primary factor considered, and is the most cost-effective means of meeting educational needs and technology plan goals.					
I certify that the entity responsible for selecting the service provider(s) has reviewed all applicable FCC, state, and local procurement/competitive bidding requirements and that the entity or entities listed on this application have complied with them.					
10 I certify that the services the applicant purchases at discounts provided by 47 U.S.C. § 254 will be used primarily for educational purposes and will not be sold, resold or transferred in consideration for money or any other thing of value, except as permitted by the Commission's rules at 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.500, 54.513. Additionally, I certify that the entity or entities listed on this application have not received anything of value or a promise of anything of value, other than services and equipment sought by means of this form, from the service provider, or any representative or agent thereof or any consultant in connection with this request for services.					

31 I certify that I and the entity(ies) I represent have complied with all program rules, including recordkeeping requirements, and I acknowledge that failure to do so may result in denial of discount funding and/or cancellation of funding commitments. There are signed contracts covering all of the services listed on this Form 471 except for those services provided under non-contracted tariffed or month-to-month arrangements. I acknowledge that failure to comply with program rules could result in civil or criminal prosecution by the appropriate law enforcement authorities.

ntity	Number: 139802	Applicant's Form Identifier: Little Axe Y17					
Conta	ct Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber	Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048					
Block 6: Certification and Signature (Continued)							
32 F		I acknowledge that the discount level used for shared services is conditional, for future years, upon ensuring that the most disadvantaged schools and libraries that are treated as sharing in the service, receive an appropriate share of benefits from those services.					
33	I certify that I will retain required documents for a period of at least five years (or whatever retention period is required by the rules in effect at the time of this certification) after the last day of service delivered. I certify that I will retain all documents necessary to demonstrate compliance with the statute and Commission rules regarding the application for, receipt of, and delivery of services receiving schools and libraries discounts, and that if audited, I will make such records available to the Administrator. I acknowledge that I may be audited pursuant to participation in the schools and libraries program.						
34	I certify that I am authorized to order telecommunications and other supported services for the eligible entity(ies) listed on this application. I certify that I am authorized to submit this request on behalf of the eligible entity(ies) listed on this application, that I have examined this request, that all of the information on this form is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, that the entities that are receiving discounts pursuant to this application have complied with the terms, conditions and purposes of the program, that no kickbacks were paid to anyone and that false statements on this form can be punished by fine or forfeiture under the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. §§ 502, 503(b), or fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, 18 U.S.C. § 1001 and civil violations of the False Claims Act.						
35	I acknowledge that FCC rules provide that persons who have been convicted of criminal violations or held civilly liable for certain acts arising from their participation in the schools and libraries support mechanism are subject to suspension and debarment from the program. I will institute reasonable measures to be informed, and will notify USAC should I be informed or become aware that I or any of the entities listed on this application, or any person associated in any way with my entity and/or the entities listed on this application, is convicted of a criminal violation or held civilly liable for acts arising from their participation in the schools and libraries support mechanism.						
36	▼ I certify that if any of the Funding Requests on this Form 471 are for discounts for products or services that contain both eligible and ineligible components, that I have allocated the eligible and ineligible components as required by the Commission's rules at 47 C.F.R. § 54.504(g)(1), (2).						
37	I certify that this funding request does not constitute a request for internal connections services, except basic maintenance services, in violation of the Commission requirement that eligible entities are not eligible for such support more than twice every five funding years as required by the Commission's rules at 47 C.F.R. § 54.506(c).						
38	8 I certify that the non-discount portion of the costs for eligible services will not be paid by the service provider. The pre-discount costs of eligible services featured on this Form 471 are net of any rebates or discounts offered by the service provider. I acknowledge that, for the purpose of this rule, the provision, by the provider of a supported service, of free services or products unrelated to the supported service or product constitutes a rebate of some or all of the cost of the supported services.						
39	Signature of authorized person	40 Date 03/20/2014					
41	Printed name of authorized person Chris Webber	•					
42	Title or position of authorized person Consultant						
	Check here if the consultant in Item 6g is the Authorized Person.						
43a							
	City Tulsa State OK Zip Code 74170-1713						

Entity Number: 139802 Applicant's Form Identifier: Little Axe Y17 Contact Person: Karla Hall or Chris Webber Contact Phone Number: (918) 445-0048 Telephone Number Ext of authorized (918) 445-0048 Fax Number of Authorized Person (918) 004-0049 43d E-mail Address of authorized Person info@crwconsulting.com Re-enter E-mail Address info@crwconsulting.com Name of Authorized **CRW** Consulting Person's Employer

NOTICE: Section 54.504 of the Federal Communications Commission's rules requires all schools and libraries ordering services that are eligible for and seeking universal service discounts to file this Services Ordered and Certification Form (FCC Form 471) with the Universal Service Administrator. 47 C.F.R.§ 54.504(c). The collection of information stems from the Commission's authority under Section 254 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. 47 U.S.C.§ 254. The data in the report will be used to ensure that schools and libraries comply with the competitive bidding requirement contained in 47C.F.R.§ 54.504. All schools and libraries planning to order services eligible for universal service discounts must file this form themselves or as part of a consortium.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The FCC is authorized under the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, to collect the information we request in this form. We will use the information you provide to determine whether approving this application is in the public interest. If we believe there may be a violation or a potential violation of any applicable statute, regulation, rule or order, your application may be referred to the Federal, state, or local agency responsible for investigating, prosecuting, enforcing, or implementing the statute, rule, regulation or order. In certain cases, the information in your application may be disclosed to the Department of Justice or a court or adjudicative body when (a) the FCC; or (b) any employee of the FCC; or (c) the United States Government is a party of a proceeding before the body or has an interest in the proceeding. In addition, consistent with the Communications Act of 1934, FCC regulations and orders, the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, or other applicable law, information provided in or submitted with this form or in response to subsequent inquiries may be disclosed to the public.

If you owe a past due debt to the Federal government, the information you provide may also be disclosed to the Department of the Treasury Financial Management Service, other Federal agencies and/or your employer to offset your salary, IRS tax refund or other payments to collect that debt. The FCC may also provide the information to these agencies through the matching of computer records when authorized.

If you do not provide the information we request on the form, the FCC may delay processing of your application or may return your application without action.

The foregoing Notice is required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. No. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. § 3501, et seq.

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 4 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, completing, and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the reporting burden to the Federal Communications Commission, Performance Evaluation and Records Management, Washington, DC 20554.

Please submit this form to:

SLD-Form 471 P.O. Box 7026 Lawrence, Kansas 66044-7026

For express delivery services or U.S. Postal Service, Return Receipt Requested, mail this form to:

SLD Forms ATTN: SLD Form 471 3833 Greenway Drive Lawrence, Kansas 66046 (888) 203-8100

FCC Form 471 - December 2013

Close Print Preview
Previous

1997 - 2014 © , Universal Service Administrative Company, All Rights Reserved

Exhibit 4: Notification of C	ommitment Adjustme	ent Letter	



Notification of Commitment Adjustment Letter

Funding Year 2013: July 1, 2013 - June 30, 2014

May 20, 2016

Chris Webber or Karla Hall LITTLE AXE SCHOOL DIST I 70 PO BOX 701713 TULSA, OK 74170 1713

Re: Form 471 Application Number:

919177

Funding Year:

2013

Applicant's Form Identifier:

Little Axe Y16

Billed Entity Number:

139802

FCC Registration Number:

0011906286

SPIN:

143035519

Service Provider Name:

Meet Point Networks LLC

Service Provider Contact Person:

Beverley Fielding

Our routine review of Schools and Libraries Program (SLP) funding commitments has revealed certain applications where funds were committed in violation of SLP rules.

In order to be sure that no funds are used in violation of SLP rules, the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) must now adjust your overall funding commitment. The purpose of this letter is to make the required adjustments to your funding commitment, and to give you an opportunity to appeal this decision. USAC has determined the applicant is responsible for all or some of the violations. Therefore, the applicant is responsible to repay all or some of the funds disbursed in error (if any).

This is NOT a bill. If recovery of disbursed funds is required, the next step in the recovery process is for USAC to issue you a Demand Payment Letter. The balance of the debt will be due within 30 days of that letter. Failure to pay the debt within 30 days from the date of the Demand Payment Letter could result in interest, late payment fees, administrative charges and implementation of the "Red Light Rule." The FCC's Red Light Rule requires USAC to dismiss pending FCC Form 471 applications if the entity responsible for paying the outstanding debt has not paid the debt, or otherwise made satisfactory arrangements to pay the debt within 30 days of the notice provided by USAC. For more information on the Red Light Rule, please see

https://www.fcc.gov/encyclopedia/red-light-frequently-asked-questions.

TO APPEAL THIS DECISION:

If you wish to appeal the Commitment Adjustment Decision indicated in this letter to USAC, your appeal must be received or postmarked within 60 days of the date of this letter. Failure to meet this requirement will result in automatic dismissal of your appeal. In your letter of appeal:

- 1. Include the name, address, telephone number, fax number, and email address (if available) for the person who can most readily discuss this appeal with us.
- 2. State outright that your letter is an appeal. Identify the date of the Notification of Commitment Adjustment Letter and the Funding Request Number(s) (FRNs) you are appealing. Your letter of appeal must include the
- · Billed Entity Name,
- Form 471 Application Number,
- · Billed Entity Number, and
- FCC Registration Number (FCC RN) from the top of your letter.
- 3. When explaining your appeal, copy the language or text from the Notification of Commitment Adjustment Letter that is the subject of your appeal to allow USAC to more readily understand your appeal and respond appropriately. Please keep your letter to the point, and provide documentation to support your appeal. Be sure to keep a copy of your entire appeal including any correspondence and documentation.
- 4. If you are an applicant, please provide a copy of your appeal to the service provider(s) affected by USAC's decision. If you are a service provider, please provide a copy of your appeal to the applicant(s) affected by USAC's decision.
- 5. Provide an authorized signature on your letter of appeal.

We strongly recommend that you use one of the electronic filing options. To submit your appeal to USAC by email, email your appeal to appeals@sl.universalservice.org or submit your appeal electronically by using the "Submit a Question" feature on the USAC website. USAC will automatically reply to incoming emails to confirm receipt.

To submit your appeal to us by fax, fax your appeal to (973) 599-6542.

To submit your appeal to us on paper, send your appeal to:

Letter of Appeal Schools and Libraries Program - Correspondence Unit 30 Lanidex Plaza West PO Box 685 Parsippany, NJ 07054-0685

For more information on submitting an appeal to USAC, see "Appeals" in the "Schools and Libraries" section of the USAC website.

FUNDING COMMITMENT ADJUSTMENT REPORT

On the pages following this letter, we have provided a Funding Commitment Adjustment Report (Report) for the Form 471 application cited above. The enclosed Report includes the Funding Request Number(s) from your application for which adjustments are necessary. See the "Guide to USAC Letters" posted at http://www.usac.org/sl/tools/samples.aspx for more information on each of the fields in the Report. USAC is also sending this information to your service provider(s) for informational purposes. If USAC has determined the service provider is also responsible for any rule violation on the FRN(s), a separate letter will be sent to the service provider detailing the necessary service provider action.

Note that if the Funds Disbursed to Date amount is less than the Adjusted Funding Commitment amount, USAC will continue to process properly filed invoices up to the Adjusted Funding Commitment amount. Review the Funding Commitment Adjustment Explanation in the attached Report for an explanation of the reduction to the commitment(s). Please ensure that any invoices that you or your service provider(s) submits to USAC are consistent with SLP rules as indicated in the Funding Commitment Adjustment Explanation. If the Funds Disbursed to Date amount exceeds your Adjusted Funding Commitment amount, USAC will have to recover some or all of the disbursed funds. The Report explains the exact amount (if any) the applicant is responsible for repaying.

Schools and Libraries Program Universal Services Administrative Company

cc: Beverley Fielding
Meet Point Networks LLC

Funding Commitment Adjustment Report for Form 471 Application Number: 919177

Funding Request Number:

2507363

Services Ordered:

INTERNET ACCESS

SPIN:

143035519

Service Provider Name:

Meet Point Networks LLC

Contract Number:

Site Identifier:

N/A

Billing Account Number:

139802

Original Funding Commitment:

\$70,299.60

Commitment Adjustment Amount:

\$70,299.60

Adjusted Funding Commitment:

\$0.00

Funds Disbursed to Date

\$70,299.60

Funds to be Recovered from Applicant:

\$70,299.60

Funding Commitment Adjustment Explanation:

After a thorough investigation, it has been determined that this funding commitment must be rescinded in full. Based on the documentation you provided during the Special Compliance Review, FRN will be denied because you did not select the most cost-effective bid proposal. FCC rules state that in selecting a provider of eligible services, applicants must carefully consider all bids submitted and must select the most cost-effective service offering. In determining which service offering is the most cost-effective, entities may consider relevant factors other than the pre-discount prices submitted by providers, but price should be the primary factor considered. The FCC further codified in the Ysleta Order that in evaluating bids from prospective service providers, applicants must select the most cost-effective offering from the bids received. The selected bid must itself be cost-effective compared to prices available commercially and stated that there may be situations where the price of services is so exorbitant that it cannot, on its face, be cost-effective. For instance, a proposal to sell at prices two to three times greater than the prices available from commercial vendors would not be cost-effective, absent extenuating circumstances. You posted requests for minimum 100 MBPS on FCC Form 470 # 527680001050031 and the associated RFP. You received a bid from One Net offering these specific services at an amount of \$2,300 per month for 100 MBPS, a bid from One Source for \$3,759.44 monthly/ \$250 one-time charge for 100 MBPS, and a bid from Meetpoint for \$6,920 monthly/\$650 one-time charge for 100 MPBS. All bids are for the specific services requested on the Form 470. You selected a bid from Meetpoint for an amount of \$6,920 monthly/ \$650 one-time charge. The bid chosen is over four times more costly than the bid offering from One Net. This violates the FCC requirement that applicants select the most cost-effective offering from the bids received absent extenuating circumstances. During the review you did not present extenuating circumstances which mitigates your choice of a bid two to three times greater than the price available from another commercial vendor. Therefore, the commitment has been rescinded in full and USAC will seek recovery of any improperly disbursed funds from the applicant.



Notification of Commitment Adjustment Letter

Funding Year 2014: July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015

May 20, 2016

Karla Hall or Chris Webber LITTLE AXE SCHOOL DIST I 70 PO Box 701713 Tulsa, OK 74170 1713

Re: Form 471 Application Number:

964528

Funding Year:

2014

Applicant's Form Identifier:

Little Axe Y17

Billed Entity Number:

139802

FCC Registration Number:

0011906286

SPIN:

143035519

Service Provider Name:

Meet Point Networks LLC

Service Provider Contact Person:

Beverley Fielding

Our routine review of Schools and Libraries Program (SLP) funding commitments has revealed certain applications where funds were committed in violation of SLP rules.

In order to be sure that no funds are used in violation of SLP rules, the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) must now adjust your overall funding commitment. The purpose of this letter is to make the required adjustments to your funding commitment, and to give you an opportunity to appeal this decision. USAC has determined the applicant is responsible for all or some of the violations. Therefore, the applicant is responsible to repay all or some of the funds disbursed in error (if any).

This is NOT a bill. If recovery of disbursed funds is required, the next step in the recovery process is for USAC to issue you a Demand Payment Letter. The balance of the debt will be due within 30 days of that letter. Failure to pay the debt within 30 days from the date of the Demand Payment Letter could result in interest, late payment fees, administrative charges and implementation of the "Red Light Rule." The FCC's Red Light Rule requires USAC to dismiss pending FCC Form 471 applications if the entity responsible for paying the outstanding debt has not paid the debt, or otherwise made satisfactory arrangements to pay the debt within 30 days of the notice provided by USAC. For more information on the Red Light Rule, please see

https://www.fcc.gov/encyclopedia/red-light-frequently-asked-questions.

TO APPEAL THIS DECISION:

If you wish to appeal the Commitment Adjustment Decision indicated in this letter to USAC, your appeal must be received or postmarked within 60 days of the date of this letter. Failure to meet this requirement will result in automatic dismissal of your appeal. In your letter of appeal:

- 1. Include the name, address, telephone number, fax number, and email address (if available) for the person who can most readily discuss this appeal with us.
- 2. State outright that your letter is an appeal. Identify the date of the Notification of Commitment Adjustment Letter and the Funding Request Number(s) (FRNs) you are appealing. Your letter of appeal must include the
- · Billed Entity Name,
- Form 471 Application Number,
- · Billed Entity Number, and
- FCC Registration Number (FCC RN) from the top of your letter.
- 3. When explaining your appeal, copy the language or text from the Notification of Commitment Adjustment Letter that is the subject of your appeal to allow USAC to more readily understand your appeal and respond appropriately. Please keep your letter to the point, and provide documentation to support your appeal. Be sure to keep a copy of your entire appeal including any correspondence and documentation.
- 4. If you are an applicant, please provide a copy of your appeal to the service provider(s) affected by USAC's decision. If you are a service provider, please provide a copy of your appeal to the applicant(s) affected by USAC's decision.
- 5. Provide an authorized signature on your letter of appeal.

We strongly recommend that you use one of the electronic filing options. To submit your appeal to USAC by email, email your appeal to appeals@sl.universalservice.org or submit your appeal electronically by using the "Submit a Question" feature on the USAC website. USAC will automatically reply to incoming emails to confirm receipt.

To submit your appeal to us by fax, fax your appeal to (973) 599-6542.

To submit your appeal to us on paper, send your appeal to:

Letter of Appeal Schools and Libraries Program - Correspondence Unit 30 Lanidex Plaza West PO Box 685 Parsippany, NJ 07054-0685

For more information on submitting an appeal to USAC, see "Appeals" in the "Schools and Libraries" section of the USAC website.

FUNDING COMMITMENT ADJUSTMENT REPORT

On the pages following this letter, we have provided a Funding Commitment Adjustment Report (Report) for the Form 471 application cited above. The enclosed Report includes the Funding Request Number(s) from your application for which adjustments are necessary. See the "Guide to USAC Letters" posted at http://www.usac.org/sl/tools/samples.aspx for more information on each of the fields in the Report. USAC is also sending this information to your service provider(s) for informational purposes. If USAC has determined the service provider is also responsible for any rule violation on the FRN(s), a separate letter will be sent to the service provider detailing the necessary service provider action.

Note that if the Funds Disbursed to Date amount is less than the Adjusted Funding Commitment amount, USAC will continue to process properly filed invoices up to the Adjusted Funding Commitment amount. Review the Funding Commitment Adjustment Explanation in the attached Report for an explanation of the reduction to the commitment(s). Please ensure that any invoices that you or your service provider(s) submits to USAC are consistent with SLP rules as indicated in the Funding Commitment Adjustment Explanation. If the Funds Disbursed to Date amount exceeds your Adjusted Funding Commitment amount, USAC will have to recover some or all of the disbursed funds. The Report explains the exact amount (if any) the applicant is responsible for repaying.

Schools and Libraries Program Universal Services Administrative Company

cc: Beverley Fielding
Meet Point Networks LLC

Funding Commitment Adjustment Report for Form 471 Application Number: 964528

Funding Request Number: 2621277

Services Ordered: INTERNET ACCESS

SPIN: 143035519

Service Provider Name: Meet Point Networks LLC

Contract Number: N/A

Billing Account Number:

Site Identifier: 139802

Original Funding Commitment: \$69,753.60 Commitment Adjustment Amount: \$69,753.60

Adjusted Funding Commitment: \$0.00

Funds Disbursed to Date \$25,242.84

Funds to be Recovered from Applicant: \$25,242.84

Funding Commitment Adjustment Explanation:

After a thorough investigation, it has been determined that this funding commitment must be rescinded in full. Based on the documentation you provided during the Special Compliance Review, FRN will be denied because you did not select the most cost-effective bid proposal. FCC rules state that in selecting a provider of eligible services, applicants must carefully consider all bids submitted and must select the most cost-effective service offering. In determining which service offering is the most cost-effective, entities may consider relevant factors other than the pre-discount prices submitted by providers, but price should be the primary factor considered. The FCC further codified in the Ysleta Order that in evaluating bids from prospective service providers, applicants must select the most cost-effective offering from the bids received. The selected bid must itself be cost-effective compared to prices available commercially and stated that there may be situations where the price of services is so exorbitant that it cannot, on its face, be cost-effective. For instance, a proposal to sell at prices two to three times greater than the prices available from commercial vendors would not be cost-effective, absent extenuating circumstances. You posted requests for minimum 100 MBPS on FCC Form 470 # 527680001050031 and the associated RFP. You received a bid from One Net offering these specific services at an amount of \$2,300 per month for 100 MBPS, a bid from One Source for \$3,759.44 monthly/ \$250 one-time charge for 100 MBPS, and a bid from Meetpoint for 6,920 monthly/650 one-time charge for 100 MPBS. All bids are for the specific services requested on the Form 470. You selected a bid from Meetpoint for an amount of \$6,920 monthly/ \$650 one-time charge. The bid chosen is over four times more costly than the bid offering from One Net. This violates the FCC requirement that applicants select the most cost-effective offering from the bids received absent extenuating circumstances. During the review you did not present extenuating circumstances which mitigates your choice of a bid over two to three times greater than the price available from another commercial vendor. Therefore, the commitment has been rescinded in full and USAC will seek recovery of any improperly disbursed funds from the applicant.





Universal Service Administrative Company

Schools & Libraries Division

Administrator's Decision on Appeal – Funding Year 2013-2014

August 05, 2016

Chris Webber Little Axe School Dist I 70 CRW Consulting, LLC PO Box 701713 Tulsa, OK 74170-1713

Re: Applicant Name: LITTLE AXE SCHOOL DIST I 70

Billed Entity Number: 139802 Form 471 Application Number: 919177 Funding Request Number(s): 2507363

Your Correspondence Dated: July 15, 2016

After thorough review and investigation of all relevant facts, the Schools and Libraries Division (SLD) of the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) has made its decision in regard to your appeal of USAC's Funding Year 2013 Notification of Commitment Adjustment Letter for the Application Number indicated above. This letter explains the basis of USAC's decision. The date of this letter begins the 60 day time period for appealing this decision. If your Letter of Appeal included more than one Application Number, please note that you will receive a separate letter for each application.

Funding Request Number(s): 2507363
Decision on Appeal: Denied

Explanation:

• During the appeal review of your FCC Form 471# 919177, it has been determined that this funding commitment must be rescinded in full. Based on the documentation you provided during the Special Compliance Review, FRN will be denied because you did not select the most cost-effective bid proposal. FCC rules state that in selecting a provider of eligible services, applicants must carefully consider all bids submitted and must select the most cost-effective service offering. In determining which service offering is the most cost-effective, entities may consider relevant factors other than the pre-discount prices submitted by providers, but price should be the primary factor considered. The FCC further codified in the Ysleta Order that in evaluating bids from prospective service providers, applicants must select the most cost-effective offering from the bids

received. The selected bid must itself be cost-effective compared to prices available commercially and stated that there may be situations where the price of services is so exorbitant that it cannot, on its face, be cost-effective. For instance, a proposal to sell at prices two to three times greater than the prices available from commercial vendors would not be cost-effective, absent extenuating circumstances. You posted requests for minimum 100 MBPS on FCC Form 470# 527680001050031 and the associated RFP. You received a bid from One Net offering these specific services at an amount of \$2,300 per month for 100 MBPS. a bid from One Source for \$3,759.44 monthly/ \$250 one-time charge for 100 MBPS, and a bid from Meetpoint for \$6,920 monthly/\$650 one-time charge for 100 MPBS. All bids are for the specific services requested on the Form 470. You selected a bid from Meetpoint for an amount of \$6,920 monthly/ \$650 one-time charge. The bid chosen is over four times more costly than the bid offering from One Net. This violates the FCC requirement that applicants select the most costeffective offering from the bids received absent extenuating circumstances. During the review you did not present extenuating circumstances which mitigates your choice of a bid two to three times greater than the price available from another commercial vendor. In your appeal, you did not demonstrate that USAC's determination was incorrect. Therefore, the commitment has been rescinded in full and USAC will seek recovery of any improperly disbursed funds. Consequently, your appeal is denied.

FCC rules state that, in selecting a service provider, the applicant must carefully consider all bids submitted and must select the most cost-effective service or equipment offering, with price being the primary factor, which will result in being the most cost-effective means of meeting educational needs and the technology plan goals. See 47 C.F.R. secs. 54.511(a), 54.503(c)(2)(vii), 54.504(a)(1)(xi). See also Request for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Ysleta Independent School District, El Paso, Texas, et al., Federal-State Joint Board of Universal Service, Changes to the Board of Directors of the National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc., SLD Nos. 321479, et al., CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 97-21, Order, 18 FCC Rcd 26407, FCC 03-313 paras. 47-55 (Dec. 8, 2003). Service providers shall not charge the entities a price above the lowest corresponding price. See 47 C.F.R. sec. 54.511(b). In order to ensure that applicants are not requesting discounts for services beyond their reasonable needs, USAC denies funding request(s) for not being cost-effective. The costs of the products and services in a funding request should not be significantly higher than the costs generally available in the applicant's marketplace for the same or similar products or services. For example, equipment at prices two or three times greater than the prices available from commercial vendors would not be cost effective. unless there were extenuating circumstances. See Ysleta Order para. 54.

Since your appeal was denied in full, dismissed or cancelled, you may file an appeal with the FCC. Your appeal must be postmarked within 60 days of the date on this letter. Failure to meet this requirement will result in automatic dismissal of your appeal. You should refer to CC Docket No. 02-6 on the first page of your appeal to the FCC. If you are submitting your appeal via United States Postal Service, send to: FCC, Office of the Secretary, 445 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20554. Further information and options for filing an appeal directly with the FCC can be found under the Reference

Area/"Appeals" of the SLD section of the USAC website or by contacting the Client Service Bureau. We strongly recommend that you use the electronic filing options.

We thank you for your continued support, patience and cooperation during the appeal process.

Schools and Libraries Division Universal Service Administrative Company



Universal Service Administrative Company

Schools & Libraries Division

Administrator's Decision on Appeal - Funding Year 2014-2015

August 05, 2016

Chris Webber Little Axe School Dist 170 CRW Consulting, LLC PO Box 701713 Tulsa, OK 74170-1713

Re: Applicant Name:

LITTLE AXE SCHOOL DIST I 70

Billed Entity Number:

139802

Form 471 Application Number:

964528 2621277

Funding Request Number(s): Your Correspondence Dated:

July 15, 2016

After thorough review and investigation of all relevant facts, the Schools and Libraries Division (SLD) of the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) has made its decision in regard to your appeal of USAC's Funding Year 2014 Notification of Commitment Adjustment Letter for the Application Number indicated above. This letter explains the basis of USAC's decision. The date of this letter begins the 60 day time period for appealing this decision. If your Letter of Appeal included more than one Application Number, please note that you will receive a separate letter for each application.

Funding Request Number(s):

2621277

Decision on Appeal:

Denied

Explanation:

• During the appeal review of your FCC Form 471# 964528 you requested reversal of the COMAD decision to seek recovery of improperly disbursed funds. It has been determined that this funding commitment must be rescinded in full. Based on the documentation you provided during the Special Compliance Review, FRN will be denied because you did not select the most cost-effective bid proposal. FCC rules state that in selecting a provider of eligible services, applicants must carefully consider all bids submitted and must select the most cost-effective service offering. In determining which service offering is the most cost-effective, entities may consider relevant factors other than the pre-discount prices submitted by providers, but price should be the primary factor considered. The FCC further codified in the Ysleta Order that in evaluating bids from prospective service

providers, applicants must select the most cost-effective offering from the bids received. The selected bid must itself be cost-effective compared to prices available commercially and stated that there may be situations where the price of services is so exorbitant that it cannot, on its face, be cost-effective. For instance, a proposal to sell at prices two to three times greater than the prices available from commercial vendors would not be cost-effective, absent extenuating circumstances. You posted requests for minimum 100 MBPS on FCC Form 470# 527680001050031 and the associated RFP. You received a bid from One Net offering these specific services at an amount of \$2,300 per month for 100 MBPS, a bid from One Source for \$3,759.44 monthly/ \$250 one-time charge for 100 MBPS, and a bid from Meetpoint for \$6,920 monthly/\$650 one-time charge for 100 MPBS. All bids are for the specific services requested on the Form 470. You selected a bid from Meetpoint for an amount of \$6,920 monthly/ \$650 one-time charge. The bid chosen is over four times more costly than the bid offering from One Net. This violates the FCC requirement that applicants select the most costeffective offering from the bids received absent extenuating circumstances. During the review you did not present extenuating circumstances which mitigates your choice of a bid over two to three times greater than the price available from another commercial vendor. In your appeal, you did not demonstrate that USAC's determination was incorrect. Therefore, the commitment has been rescinded in full and USAC will seek recovery of any improperly disbursed funds. Consequently, your appeal is denied.

FCC rules state that, in selecting a service provider, the applicant must carefully consider all bids submitted and must select the most cost-effective service or equipment offering, with price being the primary factor, which will result in being the most cost-effective means of meeting educational needs and the technology plan goals. See 47 C.F.R. secs. 54.511(a), 54.503(c)(2)(vii), 54.504(a)(1)(xi). See also Request for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Ysleta Independent School District, El Paso, Texas, et al., Federal-State Joint Board of Universal Service, Changes to the Board of Directors of the National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc., SLD Nos. 321479, et al., CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 97-21, Order, 18 FCC Rcd 26407, FCC 03-313 paras. 47-55 (Dec. 8, 2003). Service providers shall not charge the entities a price above the lowest corresponding price. See 47 C.F.R. sec. 54.511(b). In order to ensure that applicants are not requesting discounts for services beyond their reasonable needs. USAC denies funding request(s) for not being cost-effective. The costs of the products and services in a funding request should not be significantly higher than the costs generally available in the applicant's marketplace for the same or similar products or services. For example, equipment at prices two or three times greater than the prices available from commercial vendors would not be cost effective, unless there were extenuating circumstances. See Ysleta Order para. 54.

Since your appeal was denied in full, dismissed or cancelled, you may file an appeal with the FCC. Your appeal must be postmarked within 60 days of the date on this letter. Failure to meet this requirement will result in automatic dismissal of your appeal. You should refer to CC Docket No. 02-6 on the first page of your appeal to the FCC. If you are submitting your appeal via United States Postal Service, send to: FCC, Office of the Secretary, 445 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20554. Further information and options

for filing an appeal directly with the FCC can be found under the Reference Area/"Appeals" of the SLD section of the USAC website or by contacting the Client Service Bureau. We strongly recommend that you use the electronic filing options.

We thank you for your continued support, patience and cooperation during the appeal process.

Schools and Libraries Division Universal Service Administrative Company



BID EVALUATION SHEET - Full

Erate Year 2013

SERVICE/EQUIPMENT	BID	IS	FOR:	Inter	net	Access
-------------------	-----	----	------	-------	-----	--------

COMPANY SUBMITTING BID: Meet Point Networks

Evaluation Factor	Maximum Points	Total Awarded Points
PRICE OF ELIGIBLE GOODS & SERVICES	25	15
SERVICE HISTORY	. 20	20
EXPERTISE OF COMPANY	20	20
UNDERSTANDING OF NEEDS/COMPLETENESS OF BIDS	20	20
LOCATION OF COMPANY	15	10
TOTAL POINTS	100	§ 5

Bid Evaluated by: ANDY MOBILY	_
Date: 12/17/12	
Signature: And Molley	

BID EVALUATION SHEET - Full

Erate Year 2013

SERVICE/EQUIPMENT E	BID I	S	FOR:	Internet	Access
---------------------	-------	---	------	----------	--------

COMPANY SUBMITTING BID: ONE NET

Evaluation Factor	Maximum Points	Total Awarded Points
PRICE OF ELIGIBLE GOODS & SERVICES	25	25
SERVICE HISTORY	20	P
EXPERTISE OF COMPANY	20	10
UNDERSTANDING OF NEEDS/COMPLETENESS OF BIDS	20	5
LOCATION OF COMPANY	15	15
TOTAL POINTS	100	55

Bid Evaluated by: ANDY MODIES	
Date: 12/17/12	
Signature: Andy Mble	

BID EVALUATION SHEET - Full

Erate Year 2013

SERVICE/EQUIPMENT BID IS FOR:	Internet	Access

Evaluation Factor	Maximum Points	Total Awarded Points
PRICE OF ELIGIBLE GOODS & SERVICES	25	20
SERVICE HISTORY	20	Ø
EXPERTISE OF COMPANY	20	10
UNDERSTANDING OF NEEDS/COMPLETENESS OF BIDS	20	15
LOCATION OF COMPANY	15	Ø
TOTAL POINTS	100	45

Bid Evaluated by: Anny Mobiley
Date: 12/17/12
Signature: Andy Wolle